

ON THE SHINTANI ZETA FUNCTION FOR THE SPACE OF PAIRS OF BINARY HERMITIAN FORMS

AKIHIKO YUKIE¹

Oklahoma State University

Introduction

Throughout this paper, k is a number field. We fix a quadratic extension $k_1 = k(\alpha_0)$ of k , where $\alpha_0 = \sqrt{\beta_0}$ for a certain $\beta_0 \in k^\times \setminus (k^\times)^2$. In this paper, we consider the zeta function defined for the space of pairs of binary Hermitian forms. This is the prehomogeneous vector space we discussed in §2 [1] and is a non-split form of the D_4 case in [4].

The purpose of this paper is to determine the principal part of the adjusted zeta function. Our main result is Theorem (8.15). Our case resembles the space of pairs of binary quadratic forms which we discussed in Chapter 5 [5]. However, the meaning of the problem, the pole structure of the adjusted zeta function, and the adjusting terms are different from those of the above case. The zeta function of our case is a counting function of the class number times the regulator of fields of the form $k(\sqrt{\beta_0}, \sqrt{\beta})$ with β_0 fixed and $\beta \in k$, whereas the zeta function for the above case is essentially the same as the space of binary quadratic forms. Our case is the first example where we need two adjusting terms whereas the adjusting term of the above case was essentially the same as that of the space of binary quadratic forms. We will not prove the meromorphic continuation of the adjusting terms in this paper. For the sake of the density theorem, we still get the residue of the rightmost pole of the zeta function (without adjusting). If this space appears in a bigger prehomogeneous vector space, we expect to use special values of the adjusted zeta function to describe the principal part of the zeta function of the bigger prehomogeneous vector space. So the principal part formula of the adjusted zeta function should be enough for most applications. We have yet to obtain the corresponding density theorem because we have not carried out the local theory.

In §1, we define the prehomogeneous vector space in this paper, and prove a stratification of this vector space. Since our group is non-split, we cannot directly apply Kempf's theorem on the rationality of the equivariant Morse stratification [2], even though it does not seem so difficult to make necessary adjustments. However, since our representation is rather small, we will handle the stratification explicitly. We will probably consider such adjustments for general non-split prehomogeneous

¹The author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9401391 and NSA grant MDA-904-93-H-3035

vector spaces in the future. In §2, we define the zeta function, the Fourier transform, and the smoothed Eisenstein series. Our method for computing the principal part of the zeta function is similar to that of [5]. In §3, we review the pole structure of the zeta function for the space of (single) binary Hermitian forms. In §§4,5, we consider the adjusting terms. In §§6,7, we consider contributions from unstable points. In §8, we determine the principal part of the adjusted zeta function.

For basic notions on adeles, see [3]. The ring of adeles, the group of ideles, and the adelic absolute value of k are denoted by $\mathbb{A}$, $\mathbb{A}^\times$, $|\cdot|$ respectively. We fix a non-trivial character $\langle \cdot \rangle$ of $\mathbb{A}/k$. Note that $\langle \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\cdot) \rangle$ defines a non-trivial character of $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}/k_1$. The ring of adeles, the group of ideles, and the adelic absolute value of k_1 are denoted by $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}$, $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times$, $|\cdot|_{k_1}$ respectively. Note that by the inclusion $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$, an idele $(a_v)_v$ corresponds to the idele $(b_w)_w$ such that $b_w = a_v$ if w is a place over v . Let $\mathbb{A}^1 = \{t \in \mathbb{A}^\times \mid |t| = 1\}$, $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1 = \{t \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times \mid |t|_{k_1} = 1\}$. Identifying $k_1 \otimes \mathbb{A} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$ the norm map $N_{k_1/k}$ can be extended to a map from $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}$ to $\mathbb{A}$. It is known (see [3, p. 139]) that $|N_{k_1/k}(t)| = |t|_{k_1}$ for $t \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$. The set of positive real numbers is denoted by $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Suppose $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = n$. Then $[k_1 : \mathbb{Q}] = 2n$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{A}^\times$ is the idele whose component at any infinite place is $\lambda^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and whose component at any finite place is 1. Also $\underline{\lambda}_{k_1} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times$ is the idele whose component at any infinite place is $\lambda^{\frac{1}{2n}}$ and whose component at any finite place is 1. Clearly, $\underline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda}_{k_1}^2$. Since $|\underline{\lambda}| = \lambda$, $|\underline{\lambda}_{k_1}|_{k_1} = \lambda$, this means that $|\underline{\lambda}|_{k_1} = \lambda^2$.

If X is a variety over k and R is a k -algebra, the set of R -rational points of X is denoted by X_R . The space of Schwartz–Bruhat functions on $V_{\mathbb{A}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$. If f, g are functions on a set X , $f \ll g$ means that there is a constant C such that $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

§1 The space of pairs of binary Hermitian forms

Let $G_1 = \text{GL}(2)_{k_1}$, $G_2 = \text{GL}(2)_k$, and $G = G_1 \times G_2$. We consider G as a group over k . Let $M(2, 2)_{k_1}$ be the set of 2×2 matrices whose entries are in k_1 . Let σ be the non-trivial element of $\text{Gal}(k_1/k)$. An element $x \in M(2, 2)_{k_1}$ is called a binary Hermitian form if ${}^t x = x^\sigma$. Let W be the space of binary Hermitian forms and $V = W \oplus W$. We identify $x = (x_1, x_2) \in V$ with $M_x(v) = v_1 x_1 + v_2 x_2$ which is a 2×2 matrix with entries in linear forms in two variables $v = (v_1, v_2)$. We define an action of G on V by

$$(g_1, g_2)M_x(v) = g_1 M_x(v g_2) {}^t g_1^\sigma.$$

We define $F_x(v) = \det M_x(v)$. We proved in [1] that (G, V) is a prehomogeneous vector space and the relative invariant polynomial is the discriminant of $F_x(v)$.

We can express

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{10} & x_{11} \\ x_{11}^\sigma & x_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{20} & x_{21} \\ x_{21}^\sigma & x_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_{10}, x_{12}, x_{20}, x_{22} \in k$, $x_{11}, x_{21} \in k_1$, or $x_{10}, x_{12}, x_{20}, x_{22} \in \mathbb{A}$, $x_{11}, x_{21} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$. We choose $x = (x_{ij})$ ($i = 1, 2$, $j = 0, 1, 2$) as the coordinate system for V . Note that we are considering k_1 as a two dimensional vector space over k and we can

write $x_{11} = x_{11,1} + x_{11,2}\alpha_0$ where $x_{11,1}, x_{11,2} \in k$. But it turns out that $x_{11,1}$ and $x_{11,2}$ have the same weight with respect to a maximal split torus of G . So for our purposes, we don't have to use any k -coordinate of x_{11} and we leave x_{11} as an element of k_1 . The situation is the same for x_{21} .

For $i = 1, 2$, let $T_i \subset G_i$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices, $N_i \subset G_i$ the subgroup of lower triangular matrices with diagonal entries 1, and $B_i = T_i N_i$. We define $T = T_1 \times T_2$, $N = N_1 \times N_2$. Then $B = TN$ is a Borel subgroup of G . Let

$$\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Weyl group of G is generated by $(\nu, 1)$ and $(1, \nu)$.

For the rest of this section, we will consider a stratification of V_k . Let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \{x \in V \mid x_{10} = x_{11} = x_{20} = 0\}, \quad Z_1 = \{x \in Y_1 \mid x_{22} = 0\}, \\ Y_1^{\text{ss}} &= \{x \in Y_1 \mid x_{12}, x_{21} \neq 0\}, \quad Z_1^{\text{ss}} = Y_1^{\text{ss}} \cap Z_1, \\ Y_2 &= Z_2 = \{x \in V \mid x_{1i} = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2\}, \\ Y_2^{\text{ss}} &= Z_2^{\text{ss}} = \{x \in Y_2 \mid \det x_2 \neq 0\}, \\ Y_3 &= Z_3 = \{x \in V \mid x_{1i} = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, x_{20} = x_{21} = 0\}, \\ Y_3^{\text{ss}} &= Z_3^{\text{ss}} = \{x \in Z_3 \mid x_{22} \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define $S_i = GY_i^{\text{ss}}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $P_2 = G_1 \times B_2$, $P_1 = P_3 = B$.

Proposition (1.1) (1) $V_k \setminus \{0\} = V_k^{\text{ss}} \amalg S_{1k} \amalg S_{2k} \amalg S_{3k}$.

(2) $S_{ik} \cong G_k \times_{P_{ik}} Y_{ik}^{\text{ss}}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. We first prove that $V_k \setminus \{0\} = V_k^{\text{ss}} \cup S_{1k} \cup S_{2k} \cup S_{3k}$. By an easy computation,

$$F_x(v) = \det x_1 v_1^2 + Q(x) v_1 v_2 + \det x_2 v_2^2,$$

where $Q(x) = x_{10}x_{22} + x_{12}x_{20} - \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(x_{11}x_{21}^\sigma)$. A point $x \in V_k \setminus \{0\}$ is an unstable point if and only if the above polynomial of $v = (v_1, v_2)$ either has a square factor or is zero. If a rational quadratic polynomial has a square factor, that factor is rational. So this means that x is unstable if and only if there exists $g_2 \in G_{2k}$ such that if $y = (y_1, y_2) = g_2 x$, $\det y_1 = Q(y) = 0$. By replacing x by y , we may assume that $\det x_1 = Q(x) = 0$.

Suppose $x_1 = 0$. Then if $\det x_2 \neq 0$, $x \in Y_{2k}^{\text{ss}}$. Since $x \neq 0$, $x_2 \neq 0$. So if $\det x_2 = 0$, the rank of x_2 is one. This implies that there exists $g_1 \in G_{1k}$ such that $g_1 x_2 {}^t g_1^\sigma$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ where $c \neq 0$. Then $x \in S_{3k}$.

Suppose $x_1 \neq 0$. Then the rank of x_1 is one. By changing x if necessary, we may assume that $x_{10} = x_{11} = 0$, $x_{12} \neq 0$. Since $Q(x) = 0$, $x_{20} = 0$. If $x_{21} = 0$, by changing x by an element of G_{2k} if necessary, we may assume $x_{12} = 0$. Then $x \in Z_{3k}^{\text{ss}}$. If $x_{21} \neq 0$, $x \in Y_{1k}^{\text{ss}}$. This proves $V_k \setminus \{0\} = V_k^{\text{ss}} \cup S_{1k} \cup S_{2k} \cup S_{3k}$.

If $x \in S_{2k}$ or S_{3k} , the dimension of the subspace of W spanned by x_1, x_2 is one. If $x \in S_{1k}$, the dimension of the subspace of W spanned by x_1, x_2 is two. So $S_{1k} \cap S_{2k} = S_{1k} \cap S_{3k} = \emptyset$. If $x \in S_{2k}$, $\det(v_1 x_1 + v_2 x_2) \neq 0$, but if $x \in S_{3k}$, $\det(v_1 x_1 + v_2 x_2) = 0$. So $S_{2k} \cap S_{3k} = \emptyset$. This proves (1).

It is easy to see that $P_{ik}Y_{ik}^{\text{ss}} = Y_{ik}$ for all i . So in order to prove (2), it is enough to show that if $x \in Y_{ik}^{\text{ss}}, g = (g_1, g_2) \in G_k$ and $gx \in Y_{ik}^{\text{ss}}$, then $g \in P_{ik}$.

Consider the case $i = 2$. By the Bruhat decomposition of G_2 , $g_2 \in B_{2k}$ or $B_{2k}\nu B_{2k}$. Suppose $g_2 \in B_{2k}\nu B_{2k}$. If $b_2 \in B_{2k}$, $b_2x \in Y_{2k}^{\text{ss}}$. So if $y = (y_1, y_2) = gx$, $y_{1j} \neq 0$ for a certain j , which is not possible. So $g \in P_{1k}$.

If $i = 1, 3$, $g \in B_k, B_k(\nu, 1)B_k, B_k(1, \nu)B_k$, or $B_k(\nu, \nu)B_k$. Let $y = (y_1, y_2) = gx$. Consider the case $i = 1$. If $g \in B_k(\nu, 1)B_k$, $y_{10} \neq 0$. If $g \in B_k(1, \nu)B_k$, $y_{11} \neq 0$. Suppose $g = b(\nu, \nu)b'$ where $b, b' \in B_k$. Let $z = (z_1, z_2) = (\nu, \nu)b'x$. Then $z_{11} \neq 0$. If $z_{10} \neq 0$, $y_{10} \neq 0$ also. If $z_{10} = 0$, $y_{11} \neq 0$. So $y \notin Y_{2k}^{\text{ss}}$, which is a contradiction. This implies $g \in B_k$.

Consider the case $i = 3$. If $g \in B_k(\nu, 1)B_k$, $y_{20} \neq 0$. If $g \in B_k(1, \nu)B_k$, $y_{12} \neq 0$. If $g \in B_k(\nu, \nu)B_k$, $y_{10} \neq 0$. So $g \in B_k$. This proves (2).

Q.E.D.

Let $\tilde{T} = \text{Ker}(G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V))$. For $x \in V^{\text{ss}}$, let G_x be the stabilizer of x , and G_x^0 its connected component of 1. We define

$$L_0 = \{x \in V_k^{\text{ss}} \mid G_x^0/\tilde{T} \text{ does not have a non-trivial rational character} \}.$$

Note that we proved in [1] that the set $G_k \setminus V_k^{\text{ss}}$ corresponds bijectively with fields k'/k such that $[k' : k] \leq 2$. Moreover, if $x \in V_k^{\text{ss}}$, the corresponding field k' is generated over k by the roots of $F_x(v)$. We proved in §1 of [1] that L_0 is the set of points such that $F_x(v)$ does not factor over k_1 . So $V_k^{\text{ss}} \setminus L_0$ consists of precisely two G_k -orbits. They are represented by the following two elements

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \\ w_2 &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_0 \\ -\alpha_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$F_{w_1}(v) = v_1v_2, \quad F_{w_2}(v) = v_1^2 - \alpha_0^2v_2^2,$$

which implies that w_1 corresponds to the field k and w_2 corresponds to the field k_1 . We define $V_{\text{st},ik}^{\text{ss}} = G_k w_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$V_k^{\text{ss}} = L_0 \coprod V_{\text{st},1k}^{\text{ss}} \coprod V_{\text{st},2k}^{\text{ss}}.$$

We determine the structure of $V_{\text{st},i}^{\text{ss}}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $H_1 \subset G_k$ be the subgroup generated by T_k and (ν, ν) , and $H_2 \subset G_k$ the subgroup generated by $T_{1k} \times G_{2k}$ and $(\nu, 1)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{0,1} &= \{x \in V \mid x_{10} = x_{11} = 0\}, \\ Z_{0,1} &= \{x \in V \mid x_{10} = x_{11} = x_{22} = 0\}, \\ Z'_{0,1} &= \{x \in V \mid x_{10} = x_{11} = x_{21} = x_{22} = 0\}, \\ Z'^{\text{ss}}_{0,1} &= \{x \in Z'_{0,1} \mid x_{12}, x_{20} \neq 0\}, \\ Z_{0,2} &= \{x \in V \mid x_{10} = x_{20} = 0\}, \\ Z'_{0,2} &= \{x \in V \mid x_{10} = x_{20} = x_{12} = x_{22} = 0\}, \\ Z'^{\text{ss}}_{0,2} &= \{x \in Z'_{0,2} \mid \{x_{11}, x_{21}\} \text{ is linearly independent over } k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition (1.2) $V_{\text{st},ik}^{\text{ss}} \cong G_k \times_{H_{ik}} Z'_{0,ik}^{\text{ss}}.$

Proof. The proof of the case $i = 1$ is easy and is left to the reader. Consider the case $i = 2$. Clearly, $w_2 \in Z'_{0,ik}^{\text{ss}}$ and H_{2k} stabilizes the set $Z'_{0,2k}^{\text{ss}}$. So it is enough to show that if $x, y \in Z'_{0,ik}^{\text{ss}}$, $g \in G_k$ and $gx = y$, then $g \in H_{2k}$.

Note that $G_{k_1} \cong \text{GL}(2)_{k_1} \times \text{GL}(2)_{k_1} \times \text{GL}(2)_{k_1}$ and the action of the non-trivial element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_1/k)$ is given by $(g_1, g_2, g_3)^\sigma = (g_2^\sigma, g_1^\sigma, g_3^\sigma)$. By this identification, the inclusion $G_k \rightarrow G_{k_1}$ can be identified with the map $(g_1, g_2) \rightarrow (g_1, g_1^\sigma, g_2)$. Also $W \otimes k_1 \cong \text{M}(2, 2)_{k_1}$ and the action of G_{k_1} on $V \otimes k_1$ can be considered as

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3)M_x(v) = g_1M_x(vg_3)^t g_2$$

for $M_x(v) = v_1x_1 + v_2x_2 \in \text{M}(2, 2)_{k_1} \otimes k^2$.

Let

$$g_{w_2} = \left(\nu, I_2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_0 & -\alpha_0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Then $g_{w_2}w_1 = w_2$. This implies $G_{w_2k_1}^0 = g_{w_2}G_{w_1k_1}^0g_{w_2}^{-1}$. It is easy to see that

$$G_{w_1k_1}^0 = \left\{ (a(t_{11}, t_{12}), a(t_{21}, t_{22}), a(t_{31}, t_{32})) \mid \begin{array}{l} t_{ij} \in k_1^\times \text{ for all } i, j, \\ t_{11}t_{21}t_{31} = t_{12}t_{22}t_{32} = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $t = (a(t_{11}, t_{12}), a(t_{21}, t_{22}), a(t_{31}, t_{32})) \in G_{w_1k_1}^0$. Then the element $g_{w_2}tg_{w_2}^{-1}$ belongs to G_{wk}^0 if and only if $(g_{w_2}tg_{w_2}^{-1})^\sigma = g_{w_2}tg_{w_2}^{-1}$. This condition is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad ((g_{w_2}^\sigma)^{-1}g_{w_2})^{-1}t^\sigma(g_{w_2}^\sigma)^{-1}g_{w_2} = t.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{w_2}^\sigma)^{-1} &= \left(I_2, \nu, \frac{1}{2\alpha_0} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & -1 \\ \alpha_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \\ (g_{w_2}^\sigma)^{-1}g_{w_2} &= (\nu, \nu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(\nu, \nu, \nu)t^\sigma(\nu, \nu, \nu) = (a(t_{22}^\sigma, t_{21}^\sigma), a(t_{12}^\sigma, t_{11}^\sigma), a(t_{32}^\sigma, t_{31}^\sigma)),$$

(1.3) is satisfied if and only if $t_{21} = t_{12}^\sigma$, $t_{22} = t_{11}^\sigma$, $t_{32} = t_{31}^\sigma$. Therefore,

$$G_{w_2k}^0 = g_{w_2} \{ (a(t_{11}, t_{12}), a(t_{12}^\sigma, t_{11}^\sigma), a(t_{11}^{-1}(t_{12}^\sigma)^{-1}, (t_{11}^\sigma)^{-1}t_{12}^{-1})) \mid t_{11}, t_{12} \in k_1^\times \} g_{w_2}^{-1}.$$

Let

$$A(t_{11}, t_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_0 & -\alpha_0 \end{pmatrix} a(t_{11}^{-1}(t_{12}^\sigma)^{-1}, (t_{11}^\sigma)^{-1}t_{12}^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_0 & -\alpha_0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Then $A(t_{11}, t_{12}) \in \text{GL}(2)_k$. Since

$$g_{w_2}(a(t_{11}, t_{12}), a(t_{12}^\sigma, t_{11}^\sigma), 1)g_{w_2}^{-1} = (a_2(t_{12}, t_{11}), a_2(t_{12}, t_{11})^\sigma, 1),$$

we get

$$G_{w_2k}^0 = \{(a_2(t_{12}, t_{11}), A(t_{11}, t_{12})) \mid t_{11}, t_{12} \in k_1^\times\}.$$

Note we are identifying $(a_2(t_{12}, t_{11}), a_2(t_{12}, t_{11})^\sigma)$ with $a_2(t_{12}, t_{11})$.

Since

$$g_{w_2}(\nu, \nu, \nu)g_{w_2}^{-1} = (\nu, \nu, a(1, -1)),$$

(which corresponds to $(\nu, a(1, -1))$), G_{w_2k} is generated by $G_{w_2k}^0$ and $(\nu, a(1, -1))$.

Suppose $gx = y$ where $x, y \in Z'_{0,2k}$, $g \in G_k$. It is easy to see that there exist $h_x, h_y \in G_{2k}$ such that $x = h_x w_2$, $y = h_y w_2$. So $gh_x w_2 = h_y w_2$. This implies $h_y^{-1}gh_x \in G_{w_2k} \subset H_{2k}$. Since $h_x, h_y \in H_{2k}$, this completes the proof of Proposition (1.2).

Q.E.D.

§2 The zeta function and the smoothed Eisenstein series

In this section we define the zeta function and discuss basic properties of the smoothed Eisenstein series.

Let

$$(2.1) \quad a(t_{21}, t_{22}) = \begin{pmatrix} t_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad n(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $t_{21}, t_{22} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times$, $u \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$. Throughout this paper, we use the following notation.

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{t}^0 &= (a_2(t_{11}, t_{12}), a_2(t_{21}, t_{22})), \\ d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= (a_2(\underline{\lambda}_{1k_1}^{-1}, \underline{\lambda}_{1k_1}), a_2(\underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}, \underline{\lambda}_2)), \quad t^0 = d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\hat{t}^0, \\ n(u) &= (n(u_1), n(u_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for

$$t_{11}, t_{12} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1, \quad t_{21}, t_{22} \in \mathbb{A}^1, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad u = (u_1, u_2), \quad u_1 \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}, u_2 \in \mathbb{A}.$$

Let

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 &= \{g_1 \in G_{1\mathbb{A}} \mid |\det g_1|_{k_1} = 1\}, \\ G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 &= \{g_2 \in G_{2\mathbb{A}} \mid |\det g_2| = 1\}, \\ G_{\mathbb{A}}^0 &= G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0, \quad \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times G_{\mathbb{A}}^0, \\ \hat{T}_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 &= \{a(t_{11}, t_{12}) \mid t_{11}, t_{12} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1\}, \\ \hat{T}_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 &= \{a(t_{21}, t_{22}) \mid t_{21}, t_{22} \in \mathbb{A}^1\}, \\ T_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 &= \{a(\underline{\lambda}_{1k_1}^{-1}, \underline{\lambda}_{1k_1})t_1 \mid \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+, t_1 \in \hat{T}_{1\mathbb{A}}^0\}, \\ T_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 &= \{a(\underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}, \underline{\lambda}_2)t_2 \mid \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, t_2 \in \hat{T}_{2\mathbb{A}}^0\}, \\ \hat{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^0 &= \{\hat{t}^0 \mid t_{11}, t_{12} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1, t_{21}, t_{22} \in \mathbb{A}^1\} = \hat{T}_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 \times \hat{T}_{2\mathbb{A}}^0, \\ T_{\mathbb{A}}^0 &= \{d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\hat{t}^0 \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \hat{t}^0 \in \hat{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^0\} = T_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 \times T_{2\mathbb{A}}^0. \end{aligned}$$

The group $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{A}}$ acts on $V_{\mathbb{A}}$ by assuming that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ acts by multiplication by $\underline{\lambda}$.

If $t^0 \in T_{\mathbb{A}}^0$ and $x = (x_{ij}) \in V_k$, there are elements $\gamma_{ij}(t^0) \in \mathbb{A}^\times$ for $i = 1, 2$, $j = 0, 2$ and $\gamma_{i1}(t^0) \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $t^0 x = (\gamma_{ij}(t^0) x_{ij})$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{10}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) &= \underline{\lambda}_1^{-1} \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}, \quad \gamma_{11}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}, \quad \gamma_{12}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = \underline{\lambda}_1 \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}, \\ \gamma_{20}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) &= \underline{\lambda}_1^{-1} \underline{\lambda}_2, \quad \gamma_{21}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = \underline{\lambda}_2, \quad \gamma_{22}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = \underline{\lambda}_1 \underline{\lambda}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper, we express elements $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{A}}$, $g^0 \in G_{\mathbb{A}}^0$ as

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{g} = (\lambda, g_1, g_2), \quad g^0 = (g_1, g_2),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $g_1 \in G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0$, and $g_2 \in G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0$. We identify the element $g^0 \in G_{\mathbb{A}}^0$ with $(1, g^0)$ and $g_1 \in G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0, g_2 \in G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0$ with $(1, g_1, 1), (1, 1, g_2)$. Let $K_i \subset G_{i\mathbb{A}}$ be the standard maximal compact subgroup for $i = 1, 2$, and $K = K_1 \times K_2$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{A}}$. Let dk be the Haar measure on K such that $\int_K dk = 1$. Let

$$(2.5) \quad b^1 = d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \hat{t}^0 n(u),$$

where $d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, etc. are as in (2.2). Let $B_{\mathbb{A}}^1$ be the subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ consisting of such b^1 's.

We use the Haar measure $d^\times t'$ on $t' \in \mathbb{A}^1$ or $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1$ such that the volume of $\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times$ or $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1/k_1^\times$ is 1. If $t = \underline{\lambda} t'$ or $\underline{\lambda}_{k_1} t'$ where $t' \in \mathbb{A}^1$ or $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1$, we use $d^\times t = d^\times \lambda d^\times t'$ as the Haar measure on $\mathbb{A}^\times$ or $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times$. We do not use the subscript k_1 for the measure on $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1$ because the situation will be clear from the context. Let du_1, du_2 be Haar measures on $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}, \mathbb{A}$ respectively such that the volumes of $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}/k_1, \mathbb{A}/k$ are 1. We define

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned}d^\times \hat{t}^0 &= d^\times t_{11} d^\times t_{12} d^\times t_{21} d^\times t_{22}, \quad d^\times t^0 = d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times \lambda_2 d^\times \hat{t}^0, \\ du &= du_1 du_2, \quad db^1 = \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 d^\times t^0 du.\end{aligned}$$

We use $dg^0 = dkdb^1$ as the Haar measure on $G_{\mathbb{A}}^0$. Let dg_1, dg_2 be the Haar measures on $G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0, G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0$ which we defined in §1.1 [5] (replacing k by k_1 for dg_1). Then $dg^0 = dg_1 dg_2$. We define $d\tilde{g} = d^\times \lambda dg^0$.

For $\eta > 0$, we define

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned}T_{1\eta+}^0 &= \{d(\lambda_1, 1) \mid \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \lambda_1 \leq \eta\}, \\ T_{2\eta+}^0 &= \{d(1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \lambda_2 \leq \eta\}, \\ T_{\eta+}^0 &= \{d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \leq \eta\} = T_{1\eta+}^0 \times T_{2\eta+}^0.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Omega_i \subset \hat{T}_{i\mathbb{A}}^0 N_{i\mathbb{A}}$ be a compact subset for $i = 1, 2$. We define $\mathfrak{S}_i^0 = K_i T_{i\eta+}^0 \Omega_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$. The sets $\mathfrak{S}_1^0, \mathfrak{S}_2^0, \mathfrak{S}^0$ are called Siegel sets. It is known that for a suitable choice of η and Ω_i , $\mathfrak{S}_i^0$ surjects to $G_{i\mathbb{A}}^0/G_{ik}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Also there exists another compact set $\hat{\Omega}_i \subset \hat{T}_{i\mathbb{A}}^0$ such that $\mathfrak{S}_i^0 \subset \hat{\Omega}_i T_{i\eta+}^0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\hat{\Omega} = \hat{\Omega}_1 \times \hat{\Omega}_2$.

For $x = (x_1, x_2) = (x_{ij})$ and $y = (y_1, y_2) = (y_{ij})$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y]' &= \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\text{tr}(x_1 y_1)) + \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\text{tr}(x_2 y_2)) \\ &= x_{10} y_{10} + \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(x_{11} y_{11}^\sigma) + x_{12} y_{12} + x_{20} y_{20} + \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(x_{21} y_{21}^\sigma) + x_{22} y_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a non-degenerate bilinear form on V defined over k . By an easy consideration, $[gx, y]' = [x, {}^t g y]'$ for all g, x, y . We define $[x, y] = [x, (\nu, \nu) y]'$. For $\tilde{g} = (\lambda, g_1, g_2) \in \tilde{G}_\mathbb{A}$, we define

$$\tilde{g}^t = (\lambda^{-1}, \nu^t g_1^{-1} \nu, \nu^t g_2^{-1} \nu).$$

This is an involution and the above bilinear form satisfies $[\tilde{g}x, y] = [x, (\tilde{g}^t)^{-1} y]$.

For $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(V_\mathbb{A})$, we define its Fourier transform by

$$\hat{\Phi}(x) = \int_{V_\mathbb{A}} \Phi(y) < [x, y] > dy.$$

It is easy to see that the Fourier transform of $\Phi(\tilde{g} \cdot)$ is $\lambda^{-8} \hat{\Phi}(g^t \cdot)$.

Definition (2.8) For any G_k -invariant subset $\subset V_k$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(V_\mathbb{A})$, we define

$$\Theta_S(\Phi, \tilde{g}) = \sum_{x \in S} \Phi(\tilde{g}x).$$

We are going to define the zeta function for the prehomogeneous vector space in this paper now. The zeta function for our case is not defined for V_k^{ss} and we have to consider L_0 instead of V_k^{ss} .

Lemma (2.9) (1) *There exists a slowly increasing function $h(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ such that for any $N_1, N_2 \geq 1$,*

$$\Theta_{L_0}(\Phi, \tilde{g}) \ll h(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \sup((\lambda \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2^{-1})^{-N_1}, (\lambda \lambda_2^{-1})^{-2N_1} (\lambda \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2)^{-N_2})$$

for $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathfrak{S}^0$.

Proof. We first prove that if $x \in L_0$, either $x_{10} \neq 0$, or $x_{10} = 0, x_{11}, x_{20} \neq 0$.

Suppose $x_{10} = 0$. If $x_{11} = 0$, $\det x_1 = 0$, which means $F_x(v)$ factors over $k \subset k_1$. So we may assume that $x_{11} \neq 0$. Suppose $x_{20} = 0$. By applying an element of G_{k_1} (not G_k), we can make $x_{21} = 0$. Then $\det x_2 = 0$ and $F_x(v)$ factors. Whether or not $F_x(v)$ factors over k_1 does not change by applying an element of G_{k_1} to x . So this means if $x_{10} = x_{20} = 0$, $F_x(v)$ factors over k_1 . This proves that if $x \in L_0$, either $x_{10} \neq 0$, or $x_{10} = 0, x_{11}, x_{20} \neq 0$.

Now the statement of the lemma is a direct consequence of Lemmas (1.2.3), (1.2.8) [5]. Note that the coordinate x_{21} belongs to k_1 which is a two dimensional vector space over k and this is why we get $(\lambda \lambda_2^{-1})^{-2N_1}$ instead of $(\lambda \lambda_2^{-1})^{-N_1}$.

Q.E.D.

Let ω_1, ω_2 be characters of $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times / k_k^\times, \mathbb{A}^\times / k^\times$ respectively. We put $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$. For this ω , we define a character of $\tilde{G}_\mathbb{A} / G_k$ by $\omega(\tilde{g}) = \omega_1(\det g_1) \omega_2(\det g_2)$. We

define $\delta(\omega_1) = 1$ if ω_1 is a trivial character and $\delta(\omega_1) = 0$ otherwise. We define $\delta(\omega_2)$ similarly. Also we define $\delta_{\#}(\omega) = \delta(\omega_1)\delta(\omega_2)$.

Definition (2.10) For $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$, ω as above and a complex variable s , we define

$$(1) \quad Z(\Phi, \omega, s) = \int_{\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{A}_k}/G_k} \lambda^s \omega(\tilde{g}) \Theta_{L_0}(\Phi, \tilde{g}) d\tilde{g},$$

$$(2) \quad Z_+(\Phi, \omega, s) = \int_{\substack{\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{A}_k}/G_k \\ \lambda \geq 1}} \lambda^s \omega(\tilde{g}) \Theta_{L_0}(\Phi, \tilde{g}) d\tilde{g}.$$

By Lemma (2.9), the integral (1) converges absolutely and locally uniformly on a certain right half plane and the integral (2) is an entire function.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$, we define $\Phi_{\lambda}(x) = \Phi(\underline{\lambda}x)$. Let

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} J(\Phi, g^0) &= \sum_{x \in V_k \setminus L_0} \widehat{\Phi}((g^0)^t x) - \sum_{x \in V_k \setminus L_0} \Phi(g^0 x), \\ I^0(\Phi, \omega) &= \int_{G_{\mathbb{A}}^0/G_k} \omega(g^0) J(\Phi, g^0) dg^0, \\ I(\Phi, \omega, s) &= \int_0^1 \lambda^s I^0(\Phi_{\lambda}, \omega) d^{\times} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the Poisson summation formula,

$$Z(\Phi, \omega, s) = Z_+(\Phi, \omega, s) + Z(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 8-s) + I(\Phi, \omega, s).$$

We define $M_{\omega}\Phi$ as in (3.1.11) [5]. Since $Z(\Phi, \omega, s) = Z(M_{\omega}\Phi, \omega, s)$, we assume that $\Phi = M_{\omega}\Phi$ for the rest of this paper.

For the rest of this section, we discuss the smoothed Eisenstein series. Let $z_1 = (z_{11}, z_{12}), z_2 = (z_{21}, z_{22}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ where $z_{11} + z_{12} = z_{21} + z_{22} = 0$, and $z = (z_1, z_2)$. For $t_{11}, t_{12} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^{\times}, t_{21}, t_{22} \in \mathbb{A}^{\times}$, we define

$$(a(t_{11}, t_{12}), a(t_{21}, t_{22}))^z = |t_{11}|_{k_1}^{z_{11}} |t_{12}|_{k_1}^{z_{12}} |t_{21}|^{z_{21}} |t_{22}|^{z_{22}}.$$

Let $E_{B_1}(g_1, z_1), E_{B_2}(g_2, z_2)$ be the Eisenstein series of $G_{1\mathbb{A}}, G_{2\mathbb{A}}$ with respect to B_1, B_2 respectively. Then $E_B(g^0, z) = E_{B_1}(g_1, z_1)E_{B_2}(g_2, z_2)$ is the Eisenstein series of G with respect to B . Let $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ and $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$. We can consider ρ as half the sum of positive weights. Let $\psi(z)$ be an entire function which is rapidly decreasing with respect to $\text{Im}(z)$ on any vertical strip. Moreover, we assume that

$$(2.12) \quad \psi(z_{12}, z_{11}, z_{22}, z_{21}) = \psi(z_{11}, z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{22}), \quad \psi(\rho) \neq 0.$$

We choose a constant $C > 4$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} L(z) &= (z_{11} - z_{12}) + C(z_{21} - z_{22}), \quad \Lambda(w; z) = \frac{\psi(z)}{w - L(z)}, \\ dz_1 &= d(z_{11} - z_{12}), \quad dz_2 = d(z_{21} - z_{22}), \quad dz = dz_1 dz_2. \end{aligned}$$

We define the smoothed Eisenstein series as follows.

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{E}(g^0, w) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\operatorname{Re}(z)=q} E_B(g^0, z) \Lambda(w; z) dz,$$

where $q = (q_{11}, q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ satisfies $q_{11} - q_{12} > 1$, $q_{21} - q_{22} > 1$.

In [5], we developed a general procedure to compute the principal part of the zeta function using $\mathcal{E}(g^0, w)$ assuming that the group is a product of $\operatorname{GL}(n)$'s over the ground field k . Even though our group is not of this form, the Eisenstein series (without smoothing) we are using are those of $\operatorname{GL}(2)_{k_1}$, $\operatorname{GL}(2)_k$, and the estimates of these Eisenstein series needed to prove statements in Chapter 3 [5] are covered in Chapter 2 [5] (by replacing k by k_1). The only issue we have to worry about is that the convex hull construction of the stratification works in every step. Since this is true for our case, we will use results in Chapter 3 [5]. Here we summarize for which situation results in Chapter 3 [5] hold.

Assumptions to apply results of Chapter 3 [5]

Suppose G is a product of $\operatorname{GL}(n)$'s over finite extensions over k . We define $T, N, B \subset G$ similarly as in Chapters 2,3 [5] (T is the maximal torus, B is the Borel subgroup, and N is its unipotent radical). Let $\overline{T} \subset T$ be the maximal split torus. For example, if $G = \operatorname{GL}(n)_{k_1}$ where k_1/k is a finite extension, we can choose $\overline{T}$ to be the set of diagonal matrices with entries in $k^\times$. Let (G, V, χ) be a prehomogeneous vector space. Let $T' = \overline{T} \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)$, $\mathfrak{t} = X_*(T') \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{t}^* = X^*(T') \otimes \mathbb{R}$, where $X_*(T')$, $X^*(T')$ are the groups of rational one parameter subgroups, rational characters respectively. We consider an inner product on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ which is invariant under the action of the Weyl group. By this metric, we can identify $\mathfrak{t}$ with $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and we can consider any $\beta \in \mathfrak{B}$ as an element of $\mathfrak{t}$ also. Since $\overline{T}$ is split and number fields are characteristic zero fields, we can still diagonalize the action of $\overline{T}$. So we choose a coordinate system $x = (x_i)$ such that $t \cdot x = (\gamma_i(t)x_i)$ for $t \in \overline{T}$ where γ_i is a rational character of $\overline{T}$ for all i . By restricting to T' , we can consider $\gamma_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. We define the set $\mathfrak{B}$ of minimal combination of weights using Definition (3.2.3) [5]. For each $\beta \in \mathfrak{B}$, we can construct $M_\beta, P_\beta, S_\beta, Y_\beta^{\text{ss}}, Z_\beta^{\text{ss}}$ in exactly the same manner as in §3.2 [5]. Note that the notion of semi-stable points does not change by field extensions. Also note that M_β is again a product of $\operatorname{GL}(n)$'s over finite extensions of k . So we can consider the notion of β -sequences using Definition (3.2.6) [5] (note that there is a typo in the statement of (3.2.6)(2) [5] and $\cap_{1 \leq i \leq j} M_{\beta_i}$ must be replaced by $\cap_{1 \leq i < j} M_{\beta_i}$). We can also construct $M_\mathfrak{d}, P_\mathfrak{d}, S_\mathfrak{d}, Y_\mathfrak{d}^{\text{ss}}, Z_\mathfrak{d}^{\text{ss}}$ as in §3.2 [5].

Suppose $\mathfrak{d} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_a)$ is a β -sequence. Consider β -sequences of the form $\mathfrak{d}' = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_a, \beta_{a+1})$.

Assumption (2.14) (1) $Z_{\mathfrak{d}k} \setminus \{0\} = Z_{\mathfrak{d}k}^{\text{ss}} \coprod_{\beta_{a+1}} S_{\mathfrak{d}'k}$.

(2) $S_{\mathfrak{d}'k} \cong M_{\mathfrak{d}k} \times_{P_{\mathfrak{d}'k}} Y_{\mathfrak{d}'k}^{\text{ss}}$ for all β_{a+1} .

We assumed in [5] that we only get prehomogeneous vector spaces in induction steps. So we make this assumption also.

Assumption (2.15) For all the β -sequences $\mathfrak{d}$, $(M_\mathfrak{d}, Z_\mathfrak{d})$ is a prehomogeneous vector space.

Assumption (2.14) is probably always satisfied, but we do not consider this issue in this paper.

Suppose Assumptions (2.14), (2.15) are satisfied. We define $\overline{T}_+$ in the usual manner. If k_1/k is a field extension of degree n and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define $\underline{\lambda}_{k_1} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times$, $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{A}^\times$ in the same manner as before. Then $\underline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda}_{k_1}^n$. We use $\overline{T}_+$ in place of T_+ in [5]. If $\mathfrak{d} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_a)$ is a β -sequence, we can define $\nu_{\mathfrak{d}1}, \dots, \nu_{\mathfrak{d}a}$, $M_{\mathfrak{d}\mathbb{A}}^0$, etc. in the same way as in [5, p. 72]. Also the sets $A_{\mathfrak{p}0}$, etc. can be defined without any modification. Therefore, all the results in Chapters 2, 3 [5] hold.

We go back to our case. We proved a stratification of V_k in Proposition (1.1). It is easy to see that this is precisely the one given by the convex hull construction in §3.2 [5] using the convex hulls in [5, p. 153] (with the horizontal size changed). Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the corresponding points. In the stratification of $Z_{\beta_2 k}$, we get a stratum which corresponds to β_3 . So Assumptions (2.14), (2.15) are satisfied for our case. Let $\mathfrak{d}_1 = (\beta_1), \mathfrak{d}_2 = (\beta_2), \mathfrak{d}_3 = (\beta_3), \mathfrak{d}_4 = (\beta_1, \beta_3)$. These are all the β -sequences we have to consider.

We recall properties of $\mathcal{E}(g^0, w)$. Let $s_1 = z_{11} - z_{12}$, $s_2 = z_{21} - z_{22}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) \quad & \phi_{k_1}(z_1) = \phi_{k_1}(s_1) = Z_{k_1}(s_1)Z_{k_1}(s_1 + 1)^{-1}, \\
& \phi(z_2) = \phi(s_2) = Z_k(s_2)Z_k(s_2 + 1)^{-1} \\
& \mathfrak{R}_{k_1} = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} Z_{k_1}(s), \quad \mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} Z_k(s) \\
& \varrho_{k_1} = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \phi_{k_1}(s) = \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{k_1}}{Z_{k_1}(2)}, \quad \varrho = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \phi(s) = \frac{\mathfrak{R}}{Z_k(2)} \\
& \mathfrak{V}_{k_1 2} = \varrho_{k_1}^{-1}, \quad \mathfrak{V}_2 = \varrho^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $Z_k(s)$ is defined in [3, p. 129] or [5, p. xii]. It is well known that $\mathfrak{V}_{k_1 2}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{V}_2$) is the volume of $G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0/G_{1k}$ (resp. $G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0/G_{2k}$).

Let $C_G = \varrho_{k_1} \varrho$. If $f(w), g(w)$ are meromorphic functions of w , we use the notation $f \sim g$ if $f(w) - g(w)$ can be continued meromorphically to a right half plane $\{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(w) > c\}$ where $c < L(\rho)$ and is holomorphic at $w = L(\rho)$.

Let $J(\Phi, g^0)$ be as in (2.11). We define

$$(2.17) \quad I(\Phi, \omega, w) = \int_{G_{\mathbb{A}}^0/G_k} \omega(g^0) J(\Phi, g^0) \mathcal{E}(g^0, w) dg^0.$$

Then by Shintani's Lemma (3.4.34) [5] and Lemma (2.9),

$$(2.18) \quad I(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim C_G \Lambda(w; \rho) I^0(\Phi, \omega).$$

Now we consider all the paths. Let $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Phi, \omega, w)$, etc. be as in Chapter 3 [5]. There are four β -sequences $\mathfrak{d}_1 = (\beta_1), \mathfrak{d}_2 = (\beta_2), \mathfrak{d}_3 = (\beta_3), \mathfrak{d}_4 = (\beta_2, \beta_3)$. If two paths $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{s}_1), \mathfrak{p}_2 = (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{s}_2)$ satisfy $\mathfrak{s}_1(i) = \mathfrak{s}_2(i)$ for all $i > 1$ and $\mathfrak{s}_1(1) = 0, \mathfrak{s}_2(1) = 1$, by (2.12),

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, w),$$

etc. So we only have to consider paths $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{s})$ such that $\mathfrak{s}(1) = 0$.

Let $\mathbf{p}_i = (\mathfrak{d}_i, \mathfrak{s}_i)$ be a path such that $\mathfrak{s}_i(1) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (this determines the path). Let $\mathbf{p}_{41} = (\mathfrak{d}_4, \mathfrak{s}_{41})$ be the path such that $\mathfrak{s}_{41}(1) = 0$, $\mathfrak{s}_{41}(2) = 0$, and $\mathbf{p}_{42} = (\mathfrak{d}_4, \mathfrak{s}_{42})$ the path such that $\mathfrak{s}_{41}(1) = 0$, $\mathfrak{s}_{41}(2) = 1$. We define

$$\Xi_{\text{st},i}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \int_{G_{\mathbb{A}}^0/G_k} \Theta_{V_{\text{st},ik}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, g^0) \mathcal{E}(g^0, w) dg^0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

This is well defined for $\text{Re}(w) \gg 0$ by (3.4.34) [5].

It is not necessary to know β_1, β_3 to describe $\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_i}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ for $i = 1, 3$. It is easy to see that β_2 does not depend on the choice of the inner product on $\mathfrak{t}^*$, and $d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^{\beta_2} = \lambda_2$. In order to describe distributions associated with paths $\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_{41}, \mathbf{p}_{42}$, we have to know $e_{\mathbf{p}_2 1}(d(1, \lambda_2))$, $e_{\mathbf{p}_{41} 1}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2))$, $A_{\mathbf{p}_2 0}, A_{\mathbf{p}_{4i} 2}$ for $i = 1, 2$. For the definition of $e_{\mathbf{p}_2}(d(1, \lambda_2))$, etc., see [5, p. 72]. It is easy to see that

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{\mathbf{p}_2 1}(d(1, \lambda_2)) &= e_{\mathbf{p}_{41} 1}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = \lambda_2, \quad e_{\mathbf{p}_{42} 1}(d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) = \lambda_2^{-1}, \\ A_{\mathbf{p}_2 0} &= \{d(1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_2 \leq 1\}, \quad A_{\mathbf{p}_2 1} = \{d(1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_2 \geq 1\}, \\ A_{\mathbf{p}_{41} 2} &= \{d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_2 \leq 1\}, \quad A_{\mathbf{p}_{42} 2} = \{d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_2 \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $A'_{\mathbf{p}_2 0}, A'_{\mathbf{p}_2 1}$ and $A'_{\mathbf{p}_{4i} 2}$ for $i = 1, 2$ in [5, p. 73] are the same as $A_{\mathbf{p}_2 0}, A_{\mathbf{p}_2 1}$, and $A_{\mathbf{p}_{4i} 2}$ for $i = 1, 2$. For $\mathfrak{d}_2$,

$$M_{\mathfrak{d}_2 \mathbb{A}}^1 = M_{\mathfrak{d}_2 \mathbb{A}}^0 = \{(g_1, a(t_{21}, t_{22})) \mid g_1 \in G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0, t_{11}, t_{12} \in \mathbb{A}^1\}.$$

So if we put $t_2 = a(\underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} t_{21}, \underline{\lambda}_2 t_{22})$,

$$(2.20) \quad A_{\mathbf{p}_2 0} M_{\mathfrak{d}_2 \mathbb{A}}^0 = \{(g_1, t_2) \in G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 \times T_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 \mid \lambda_2 \leq 1\}.$$

For $\mathfrak{d}_4$, $M_{\mathfrak{d}_4 \mathbb{A}}^1 = M_{\mathfrak{d}_4 \mathbb{A}}^0 = \hat{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^0$. So

$$(2.21) \quad A_{\mathbf{p}_{41} 2} M_{\mathfrak{d}_4 \mathbb{A}}^0 = \{t^0 \in T^0 \mid \lambda_2 \leq 1\}, \quad A_{\mathbf{p}_{42} 2} M_{\mathfrak{d}_4 \mathbb{A}}^0 = \{t^0 \in T^0 \mid \lambda_2 \geq 1\}.$$

We consider $\Xi_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ in §4, $\Xi_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ in §5, $\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_i}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ for $i = 1, 3$ in §6, and $\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}(\Phi, \omega, w)$, $\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w)$, $\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{42}}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ in §7.

By (3.4.34), (3.5.5), (3.5.7) [5] and the consideration in [5, p. 90],

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\Phi, \omega, w) &= \delta_{\#}(\omega) \mathfrak{Y}_{2, k_1} \mathfrak{Y}_2(\hat{\Phi}(0) - \Phi(0)) C_G \Lambda(w; \rho) \\ &\quad + \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(\hat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, w) + \tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{p}_1}(\hat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, w) \\ &\quad - (\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}(\Phi, \omega, w) + \tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{p}_1}(\Phi, \omega, w)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2,3} (\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_i}(\hat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, w) - \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_i}(\Phi, \omega, w)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1,2} (\Xi_{\text{st},i}(\hat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, w) - \Xi_{\text{st},i}(\Phi, \omega, w)), \\ \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}(\Phi, \omega, w) &= \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w) + \hat{\Xi}_{\mathbf{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w) \\ &\quad + \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) - \hat{\Xi}_{\mathbf{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) \\ &\quad + \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{42}}(\Phi, \omega, w) - \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w), \end{aligned}$$

and all the above distributions are well defined.

For the rest of this section, we recall some notations and results. Let

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_N(g^0, w) &= \int_{N_{\mathbb{A}}/N_k} \mathcal{E}(g^0 n(u), w) du, \\ \mathcal{E}_{N_2}(g^0, w) &= \int_{N_{2\mathbb{A}}/N_{2k}} \mathcal{E}(g^0 n(u_2), w) du_2 \end{aligned}$$

be the constant terms of $\mathcal{E}(g^0, w)$ with respect to N, N_2 respectively. We define $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(g^0, w) = \mathcal{E}(g^0, w) - \mathcal{E}_N(g^0, w)$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in k_1 \times k$ and $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times \mathbb{A}$, we define

$$(2.24). \quad \langle \alpha n(u) \rangle = \langle \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\alpha_1 u_1) \rangle \langle \alpha_2 u_2 \rangle$$

Let

$$(2.25) \quad \mathcal{E}_\alpha(g^0, w) = \int_{N_{\mathbb{A}}/N_k} \mathcal{E}(g^0 n(u), w) \langle \alpha n(u) \rangle du.$$

Of course, if $\alpha = (0, 0)$, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(g^0, w) = \mathcal{E}_N(g^0, w)$.

Any element of the Weyl group of G is of the form $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ where τ_1, τ_2 are either 1 or $(1, 2)$ which corresponds to ν . We define

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} s_\tau &= (s_{\tau_1}, s_{\tau_2}) = (z_1 \tau_1(2) - z_1 \tau_1(1), z_2 \tau_2(2) - z_2 \tau_2(1)), \\ M_{\tau_1}(s_{\tau_1}) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \tau_1 = 1, \\ \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau_1}) & \tau_1 = (1, 2), \end{cases} \\ M_{\tau_2}(s_{\tau_2}) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \tau_2 = 1, \\ \phi(s_{\tau_2}) & \tau_2 = (1, 2), \end{cases} \\ M_\tau(s_\tau) &= M_{\tau_1}(s_{\tau_1}) M_{\tau_2}(s_{\tau_2}). \end{aligned}$$

For each τ , z is determined by s_τ . So any function $f(z)$ of z can be considered as a function of s_τ . We denote this function by $\tilde{f}(s_\tau)$. For example,

$$(2.27) \quad L(z) = \tilde{L}(s_\tau) = \begin{cases} -(s_{\tau_1} + C s_{\tau_2}) & \tau = (1, 1), \\ s_{\tau_1} - C s_{\tau_2} & \tau = (\nu, 1), \\ -s_{\tau_1} + C s_{\tau_2} & \tau = (1, \nu), \\ s_{\tau_1} + C s_{\tau_2} & \tau = (\nu, \nu). \end{cases}$$

Regarding $\Lambda(w; z)$ as a function of s_τ , we use the notation $\tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_\tau)$ for this function. We define $\tilde{\Lambda}_\tau(w; s_\tau) = M_\tau(s_\tau) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_\tau)$. We choose $r = (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ so that $r_1, r_2 > 1$. Then it is well known that

$$(2.28) \quad \mathcal{E}_N(b^1, w) = \sum_{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\text{Re}(s_\tau) = (r_1, r_2)} \lambda_1^{s_{\tau_1}-1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau_2}-1} \tilde{\Lambda}_\tau(w; s_\tau) ds_\tau.$$

We define

$$(2.29) \quad G(\lambda_1, w) = \frac{\varrho}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau_1})=r_1>1} \lambda_1^{s_{\tau_1}-1} \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau_1}) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau_1}, 1) ds_{\tau_1},$$

where $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$ on the right hand side.

Proposition (2.30) *Let $M > L(\rho)$. Then there exist constants $\delta, c_1, \dots, c_k > 0$, $d_0, \dots, d_k$ such that for any $l_1, l_2 \gg 0$,*

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(b^1, w), \mathcal{E}_\alpha(b^1, w) \ll \sup(\lambda_1^{l_1} \lambda_2^{d_0}, \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{l_2}, \lambda_1^{l_1} \lambda_2^{l_2})$$

for $\alpha \neq (0, 0)$ and $L(\rho) - \delta \leq \operatorname{Re}(w) \leq M$, and

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}_N(b^1, w) - G(\lambda_1, w) \ll \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_1^{c_i} \lambda_2^{d_i}.$$

Proof. Consider $b^1 = (b_1, b_2)$ in (2.5). Let $E_{N_i}(b_i, z_i)$, $\tilde{E}_{B_i}(b_i, z_i)$ be the constant term and the non-constant term of $E_{B_i}(b_i, z_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(b^1, w)$ is a sum of contour integrals of

$$\tilde{E}_{B_1}(b_1, z_1) E_{N_2}(b_2, z_2), E_{N_1}(b_1, z_1) \tilde{E}_{B_2}(b_2, z_2), \tilde{E}_{B_1}(b_1, z_1) \tilde{E}_{B_2}(b_2, z_2).$$

On any vertical strip in $\operatorname{Re}(z_{i1} - z_{i2}) > 0$ and for $l_i \gg 0$, $\tilde{E}_{B_i}(b_i, z_i) \ll \lambda_i^{l_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, this estimate applies to each term of the Fourier expansion.

Note that

$$E_{N_i}(b_i, z_i) = \sum_{\tau_i} M_{\tau_i}(s_{\tau_i i}) \lambda_i^{\tau_i-1},$$

where $s_{\tau_i i}$ is defined as in (2.26) (we are only considering each factor of the Weyl group). We divide the contour integral of $\tilde{E}_{B_1}(b_1, z_1) E_{N_2}(b_2, z_2)$ according to τ_2 and choose the contour so that $\operatorname{Re}(z_{11} - z_{12}) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau_2})$ close to 1. This corresponds to the first term in (1). For $E_{N_1}(b_1, z_1) \tilde{E}_{B_2}(b_2, z_2)$, we choose the contour so that $\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau_1 1}) = 2$, $\operatorname{Re}(z_{21} - z_{22}) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\pm 2 + \frac{C}{2} < 1 + C = L(\rho)$, because $C > 4$ by assumption. This corresponds to the second term in (1). For $\tilde{E}_{B_1}(b_1, z_1) \tilde{E}_{B_2}(b_2, z_2)$, we choose $\operatorname{Re}(z_{i1} - z_{i2}) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $i = 1, 2$. This corresponds to the third term in (1). This proves (1).

Consider (2). Let τ be a Weyl group element. If $\tau = (1, 1)$ or $(\nu, 1)$, we choose $\operatorname{Re}(s_\tau) = (2, \frac{1}{2})$. If $\tau = (1, \nu)$, we choose $\operatorname{Re}(s_\tau) = (2, r_2)$ where r_2 is close to 1. Then $\tilde{L}(2, r_2) = -2 + Cr_2 < 1 + C$. So the corresponding terms in $\mathcal{E}_N(b^1, w)$ satisfy the estimate of (2). Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. We move the contour to $\operatorname{Re}(s_\tau) = (1 + \delta_1, 1 - \delta_2)$ where $\delta_1^{-1} \delta_2 \gg 0$. Then $1 + \delta_1 + C(1 - \delta_2) < 1 + C$. When the contour crosses the line $s_{\tau_2} = 1$, we get the residue $G(\lambda_1, w)$. The contour integral over $\operatorname{Re}(s_\tau) = (1 + \delta_1, 1 - \delta_2)$ satisfies the estimate of (2). This proves the proposition.

Q.E.D.

In particular, if we are not interested in the growth, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(b^1, w)$, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(b^1, w)$ are bounded by a slowly increasing function (but across the point $L(\rho)$) and this bound is uniform with respect to $\alpha \neq (0, 0)$.

§3 The zeta function for the space of binary Hermitian forms

Since the space W of (single) binary Hermitian forms appears in the induction, we have to know the principal part formula of the zeta function for this case. This case is quite easy and is well known. The purpose of this section is to review the principal part formula for this case. This is a case where the theta series for the entire lattice V_k is integrable on $G_{\mathbb{A}}^0$.

Before discussing the space of binary Hermitian forms, we make a few definitions for later purposes.

If $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A})$, ω is a character of $\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times$, $t \in \mathbb{A}^\times$, and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_1(\Psi, t) &= \sum_{x \in k^\times} \Psi(tx), \\ \Sigma_1(\Psi, \omega, s) &= \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times} \omega(t) |t|^s d^\times t. \end{aligned}$$

Properties of $\Sigma_1(\Psi, \omega, s)$ are well known.

If $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1})$, $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is a character of $\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times/k_1^\times$, $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{A}^\times \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times$, and $s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we define

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_{1,1}(\Psi, t) &= \sum_{x \in k^\times \times k_1^\times} \Psi(tx), \\ \Sigma_{1,1}(\Psi, \omega, s) &= \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times/k_1^\times} \omega_1(t_1) \omega_2(t_2) |t_1|^{s_1} |t_2|^{s_2} d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2. \end{aligned}$$

The function $\Sigma_{1,1}(\Psi, \omega, s)$ is more or less the product $Z_k(s_1)Z_{k_1}(s_2)$ and it is easy to see that it can be continued meromorphically everywhere having poles at $s_1 = 0, 1$, $s_2 = 0, 1$. If $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ or $s_0 = (s_{01}, s_{02}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, let

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_1(\Psi, \omega, s) &= \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} \Sigma_{1,(i)}(\Psi, \omega, s_0) (s - s_0)^i, \\ \Sigma_{1,1}(\Psi, \omega, s) &= \sum_{i,j=-1}^{\infty} \Sigma_{1,1,(i,j)}(\Psi, \omega, s_0) (s_1 - s_{01})^i (s_2 - s_{02})^j \end{aligned}$$

be the Laurent expansions around $s = s_0$. If ω is trivial, we drop ω and use notations $\Sigma_1(\Psi, s)$, $\Sigma_{1,(i)}(\Psi, s_0)$, etc.

Let i be a positive integer. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_i) \in \mathbb{R}_+^i$ and $M > 0$, we define

$$(3.4) \quad \text{rd}_{i,M}(\mu) = \inf(\mu_1^{\pm 1} \cdots \mu_i^{\pm 1}),$$

where we consider all the possible $\pm$.

Let W be as in §1 and $G = \text{GL}(1)_k \times \text{GL}(2)_{k_1}$ considered as a group over k . The action of $\text{GL}(2)_{k_1}$ is the same as in §1 and $\alpha \in \text{GL}(1)_k$ acts by multiplication by α . Let $G_1 = \text{GL}(1)_k$, $G_2 = \text{GL}(2)_{k_1}$. We define $G_{\mathbb{A}}^0$, $G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0$, $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{A}}$, etc. similarly

as in §2. If $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is a character of $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times / k_1^\times \times \mathbb{A}^\times / k^\times$, we define $\omega(t_1, g_2) = \omega_1(t_1)\omega_2(\det g_2)$ for $t_1 \in \mathbb{A}^1$, $g_2 \in G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0$.

We write elements of W as $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ x_1^\sigma & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to see that W^{ss} is the set of non-singular matrices. Let $S_{W,1}$ be the set of rank one matrices in W . Let

$$Z_{W,1} = \left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad Z_{W,1}^{\text{ss}} = \{x \in Z_{W,1} \mid x_2 \neq 0\}.$$

Let $B = \text{GL}(1)_k \times B_2 \subset G$ be the Borel subgroup as in §2. Then it is easy to see $S_{W,1k} \cong G_k \times_{B_k} Z_{W,1k}^{\text{ss}}$.

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(W_\mathbb{A})$. For any G_k -invariant subset $S \subset W_k$, we define $\Theta_S(\Phi, \tilde{g})$ in the usual manner for $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}_\mathbb{A}$. For $g_2 \in G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0$, let $g_2 = ka(\mu^{-1}, \mu)b$ be the Iwasawa decomposition where $b \in \hat{T}_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 N_{2\mathbb{A}}$.

If $x \in W_k^{\text{ss}}$, then $x_0 \neq 0$, or $x_0 = 0$, $x_1 \neq 0$. So by Lemma (1.2.6) [5], there exists a slowly increasing function $h(\lambda, \mu)$ such that for any $N_1, N_2 \geq 1$,

$$(3.5) \quad \Theta_{W_k^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, \lambda g^0) \ll \sup(h(\lambda, \mu)(\lambda\mu^{-1})^{-N_1}, \lambda^{-2N_1} \sup(1, \mu^{-1}))$$

for g^0 in a Siegel set and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Also by Lemma (1.2.6) [5],

$$\Theta_{W_k}(\Phi, g^0) \ll \sup(1, \mu) \sup(1, \mu^{-1}) \ll \mu^{-1}$$

for g^0 in a Siegel set. This implies that $\Theta_{W_k}(\Phi, g^0)$ is integrable on $G_\mathbb{A}^0/G_k$.

We define

$$Z_W(\Phi, \omega, s) = \int_{\tilde{G}/G_k} \omega(\tilde{g}) \lambda^s \Theta_{W_k^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, \tilde{g}) d\tilde{g},$$

$$Z_{W+}(\Phi, \omega, s) = \int_{\substack{\tilde{G}/G_k \\ \lambda \geq 1}} \omega(\tilde{g}) \lambda^s \Theta_{W_k^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, \tilde{g}) d\tilde{g}.$$

Then $Z_W(\Phi, \omega, s)$ is defined on a certain right half plane and $Z_{W+}(\Phi, \omega, s)$ is an entire function.

For $x, y \in W$, we define $[x, y]' = \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\text{tr}(xy))$, $[x, y] = [x, (1, \nu)y]'$. We use this non-degenerate bilinear form to define a Fourier transform $\hat{\Phi}$ of Φ . We define $\tilde{g}^t$ similarly as in §2.

Let $R_{W,1}\Phi$ be the restriction of Φ to $Z_{W,1\mathbb{A}}$. We put $\delta_{W,1}(\omega) = \delta(\omega_1 \circ N_{k_1/k})\delta(\omega_2)$ where $\omega_1 \circ N_{k_1/k}$ is the composition of $\omega_1, N_{k_1/k}$. We define $M_\omega\Phi$ as in (3.1.11) [5] and assume that $\Phi = M_\omega\Phi$. Since there is no difficulty regarding the convergence of the full theta series $\Theta_{W_k}(\Phi, g^0)$, the following formula can easily be proved and the details are left to the reader.

$$(3.6) \quad Z_W(\Phi, \omega, s) = Z_{W+}(\Phi, \omega, s) + Z_{W+}(\hat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 4-s)$$

$$+ \delta_\#(\omega) \mathfrak{V}_{2, k_1} \left(\frac{\hat{\Phi}(0)}{s-4} - \frac{\Phi(0)}{s} \right)$$

$$+ \delta_{W,1}(\omega) \left(\frac{\Sigma_1(R_{W,1}\hat{\Phi}, \omega_1^{-1}, 2)}{s-2} - \frac{\Sigma_1(R_{W,1}\Phi, \omega_1, 2)}{s-2} \right).$$

If $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$(3.7) \quad Z_W(\Phi, \omega, s) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} Z_{W,(i)}(\Phi, \omega, s_0)(s - s_0)^i$$

be the Laurent expansion around $s = s_0$.

§4 The adjusting term I

Before we start the analysis of $\Xi_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, w)$, etc., we introduce some notations. For $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$, let $R_i\Phi$ be the restriction of Φ to $Z_{i\mathbb{A}}$ for $i = 2, 3$. Let $Z_4 = Z_3$, $Z_4^{\text{ss}} = Z_3^{\text{ss}}$. For any subspace $Z_4 \subset U \subset V$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(U_{\mathbb{A}})$, let $R_4\Psi$ be the restriction of Ψ to $Z_{4\mathbb{A}}$. Let $\tilde{R}_1\Phi$ be the restriction of Φ to $Y_{1\mathbb{A}}$. Let $\tilde{R}_{0,1}\Phi$ be the restriction of Φ to $Y_{0,1\mathbb{A}}$. Let $R_{0,2}\Phi$ be the restriction of Φ to $Z_{0,2\mathbb{A}}$. We define $R_1\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(Z_{1\mathbb{A}})$, $R_{0,1}\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(Z_{0,1\mathbb{A}})$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R_1\Phi(x_{12}, x_{21}) &= \int_{\mathbb{A}} \tilde{R}_1\Phi(x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}) dx_{22}, \\ R_{0,1}\Phi(x_{12}, x_{20}, x_{21}) &= \int_{\mathbb{A}} \tilde{R}_{0,1}\Phi(x_{12}, x_{20}, x_{21}, x_{22}) dx_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider $\Xi_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, w)$.

Let Φ and ω be as before. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, $q = (q_1, q_2) \in (\mathbb{A}^1)^2$, $u_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$, and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\alpha_{k_1}(u_0)$ be the function of $u_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$ defined in Definition (2.2.2) [5] for the field k_1 . For $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ as before, we define $\delta_{\text{st},1}(\omega) = \delta(\omega_1(\omega_2 \circ N_{k_1/k})^{-1})$. We define

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(\Phi, \omega, \mu, q, u_0, s_1, s_2) &= \delta_{\text{st},1}(\omega) \omega_2(q_1 q_2) \mu_2^{s_1} \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{s_2} \\ &\quad \times R_{0,1}\Phi(\underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2^{-1} q_1, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 q_2, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 q_2 u_0). \end{aligned}$$

We define $d^\times \mu = d^\times \mu_1 d^\times \mu_2$, $d^\times q = d^\times q_1 d^\times q_2$. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$ be another variable.

Definition (4.3)

$$(1) \quad T_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1, s_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}} \mu_1^s f_1(\Phi, \omega, \mu, q, u_0, s_1, s_2) d^\times \mu d^\times q du_0,$$

$$(2) \quad T_{\text{st},1+}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1, s_2) = \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \\ \mu_1 \geq 1}} \mu_1^s f_1(\Phi, \omega, \mu, q, u_0, s_1, s_2) d^\times \mu d^\times q du_0,$$

$$(3) \quad T_{\text{st},1}^1(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1, s_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}} f_1(\Phi, \omega, 1, \mu_2, q, u_0, s_1, s_2) d^\times \mu d^\times q du_0.$$

Proposition (4.4) *The integral (4.3)(1) converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $\text{Re}(s) - \text{Re}(s_1) > 2$, $\text{Re}(s) + \text{Re}(s_1) > 6$, $\text{Re}(s) + \text{Re}(s_1) + 4\text{Re}(s_2) > 6$, and the integrals (4.3)(2), (4.3)(3) are entire functions.*

Proof. Let $\Psi = R_{0,1}\Phi$. We define

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{\Theta}_{Z_{0,1}}(\Psi, \mu, q, u_0) = \sum_{x, y \in k^\times} \Psi(\underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2^{-1} q_1 x, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 q_2 y, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 q_2 y u_0).$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$(4.6) T_{\text{st},1}(\Psi, \omega, s, s_1, s_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}} \omega_2(q_1 q_2) \mu_1^s \mu_2^{s_1} \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{s_2} \tilde{\Theta}_{Z_{0,1}}(\Psi, \mu, q, u_0) d^\times \mu d^\times q du_0,$$

etc.

Let $\sigma = \text{Re}(s)$, $\sigma_1 = \text{Re}(s_1)$, $\sigma_2 = \text{Re}(s_2)$. By Lemma (1.2.3) [5], there exists $0 \leq \Psi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(Z_{0,1\mathbb{A}})$ such that (4.6) is bounded by a constant multiple of

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}} \mu_1^\sigma \mu_2^{\sigma_1} \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{\sigma_2} \tilde{\Theta}_{Z_{0,1}}(\Psi_1, \mu, 1, u_0) d^\times \mu du_0.$$

We estimate $\alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{\sigma_2} \tilde{\Theta}_{Z_{0,1}}(\Psi_1, \mu, 1, u_0)$, which is

$$(4.8) \quad \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{\sigma_2} \sum_{x, y \in k^\times} \Psi_1(\underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2^{-1} x, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 y, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 y u_0).$$

We put $u_3 = \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 y u_0$. Then there exists a lattice $L \subset k$ and a compact set $F \subset \mathbb{A}_{k_1 f}$ (the finite part of $\mathbb{A}_{k_1}$) such that

$$\Psi_1(\underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2^{-1} x, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 y, u_3) = 0$$

unless $x, y \in L$ and the finite part of u_3 belongs to F .

Consider the function $\alpha_{k_1}(u_0)$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{k_1\infty}$ be the set of infinite places of k_1 , and $L \subset L_1 \subset k_1$ a lattice. We proved in [5, p. 117] that if $\sigma_2 \leq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$(4.9) \quad \alpha_{k_1}(\underline{\lambda}_{k_1}^{-1} y^{-1} u_3)^{\sigma_2} \leq \lambda^{\sigma_2} \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}_{k_1\infty}} \alpha_v(\underline{\lambda}_{k_1} y)^{\sigma_2} \alpha_v(u_3)^{\sigma_2}$$

If $\sigma_2 \geq 0$, $\alpha_{k_1}(u_3)^{\sigma_2} \leq 1$. Note that $\prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}_{k_1\infty}} \alpha_v(\underline{\lambda}_{k_1} y)^{\sigma_2} \alpha_v(u_3)^{\sigma_2}$ is a polynomial growth function of the infinite part of $\underline{\lambda} y$, u_3 as long as σ ranges over a compact set. We apply (4.9) to $\lambda = (\mu_1 \mu_2)^2$. Since Ψ_1 is a Schwartz–Bruhat function, there exists $0 \leq \Psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(Z_{0,1\mathbb{A}})$ such that (4.8) is bounded by a constant multiple of

$$(4.10) \quad \sup(1, (\mu_1 \mu_2)^{2\sigma_2}) \sum_{x, y \in k^\times} \Psi_2(\underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2^{-1} x, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 y, u_3).$$

Let

$$\Psi_3(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_{k_1}} \Psi_2(x, y, z) dz.$$

We choose $|\Psi_3| \leq \Psi_4 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^2)$. Then (4.7) is bounded by a constant multiple of

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \sup(1, (\mu_1 \mu_2)^{2\sigma_2}) (\mu_1 \mu_2)^{-2} \mu_1^\sigma \mu_2^{\sigma_1} \sum_{x, y \in k^\times} \Psi_3(\underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2^{-1} x, \underline{\mu}_1 \underline{\mu}_2 y) d^\times \mu.$$

Since $\mu_1^\sigma \mu_2^{\sigma_1} = (\mu_1 \mu_2^{-1})^{\frac{\sigma - \sigma_1}{2}} (\mu_1 \mu_2)^{\frac{\sigma + \sigma_1}{2}}$, (4.11) converges absolutely if

$$\frac{\sigma - \sigma_1}{2} > 1, \quad \frac{\sigma + \sigma_1}{2} - 2 > 1, \quad \frac{\sigma + \sigma_1}{2} + 2\sigma_2 - 2 > 1.$$

If $\mu_1 \geq 1$ or $\mu_1 = 1$, the integral analogous to (4.11) always converges. This proves Proposition (4.4).

Q.E.D.

Let

$$b_{\text{st},1} = (n(u_0), 1)t^0(1, n(u_2)),$$

where $u_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$, $u_2 \in \mathbb{A}$, $t^0 \in T_{\mathbb{A}}^0$. We define

$$(4.12) \quad X_1 = \{b_{\text{st},1} \mid \lambda_1 \leq \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

Then as in [5, p. 112], KX_1/T_k is the fundamental domain for $G_{\mathbb{A}}^0/H_{1k}$. Note that the right invariant measure on X_1 is $db_{\text{st},1} = \lambda_2^2 d^\times t^0 du_0 du_2$.

Proposition (4.13)

$$\Xi_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim \int_{X_1/T_k} \Theta_{Z'_{0,1k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, b_{\text{st},1}) \mathcal{E}_N(b_{\text{st},1}, w) db_{\text{st},1}.$$

Proof. By (1.2),

$$\Xi_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \int_{X_1/T_k} \Theta_{Z'_{0,1k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, b_{\text{st},1}) \mathcal{E}(b_{\text{st},1}, w) db_{\text{st},1}.$$

By Proposition (2.30), we only have to prove that for a fixed slowly increasing function $h(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, the integral

$$(4.14) \quad \int_{X_1/T_k} \Theta_{Z'_{0,1k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, b_{\text{st},1}) h(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \sup(\lambda_1^{l_1}, \lambda_2^{l_2}, \lambda_1^{l_1} \lambda_2^{l_2}) db_{\text{st},1}$$

converges absolutely for $l_1, l_2 \gg 0$.

In the above integral, $\Theta_{Z'_{0,1k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, b_{\text{st},1})$ is the only part which depends on u_0, u_2 . It is easy to see that

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{A}} \Theta_{Z'_{0,1k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, b_{\text{st},1}) du_2 = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}_{Z_{0,1}}(\Psi, \mu, q, u_0),$$

where $\Psi = R_{0,1}\Phi$, $\mu_1 = 1$, $\mu_2 = \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2$, $q_1 = N_{k_1}(t_{12})t_{21}$, and $q_2 = N_{k_1}(t_{10})t_{22}$. Since $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 \mu_2$,

$$\lambda_2^{l_2} = \lambda_1^{l_2} \mu_2^{l_2}, \quad \lambda_1^{l_1} \lambda_2^{l_2} = \lambda_1^{l_1+l_2} \mu_2^{l_2}.$$

We choose $|\Psi| \leq \Psi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(Z_{0,1\mathbb{A}})$. Then (4.13) is bounded by a constant multiple of a finite sum of integrals of the form

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \\ \lambda_1 \leq \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}} \lambda_1^{c_1} \mu_2^{c_2} \tilde{\Theta}_{Z_{0,1}}(\Psi_1, 1, \mu_2, q, u_0) d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times \mu_2 d^\times q du_0,$$

where $c_1 > 0$. Then the convergence of this integral follows from (4.4) (for (4.3)(3)).
Q.E.D.

Proposition (4.16) *Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, w) \\ & \sim \frac{\varrho}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau_1})=r_1>1} \frac{T_{\text{st},1}^1(R_{0,1}\Phi, \omega, 1, -\frac{s_{\tau_1}-1}{2})}{s_{\tau_1}-1} \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau_1}) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau_1}, 1) ds_{\tau_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $f(q)$ is a function of $q = (q_1, q_2) \in (\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times)^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.17) \quad & \int_{\widehat{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^0/T_k} \omega(\widehat{t}^0) f(N_{k_1/k}(t_{12})t_{21}, N_{k_1/k}(t_{11})t_{22}) d^\times \widehat{t}^0 \\ & = \delta(\omega_1(\omega_2 \circ N_{k_1/k})^{-1}) \int_{(\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times)^2} \omega_2(q_1 q_2) f(q_1, q_2) d^\times q_1 d^\times q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Psi = R_{0,1}\Phi$, $\mu_2 = \lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2$. Then $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1\mu_2$ and $d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times \lambda_2 = d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times \mu_2$. So

$$\begin{aligned} (4.18) \quad & \mathcal{E}_N(b_{\text{st},1}, w) \\ & = \sum_{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau})=(r_1, r_2)} \lambda_1^{s_{\tau_1}+s_{\tau_2}-2} \mu_2^{s_{\tau_2}-1} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(w; s_{\tau}) ds_{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lambda_2^2(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1} = \lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2 = \mu_2$. By (4.13), (4.15), (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, w) & \sim \delta_{\text{st},1}(\omega) \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \\ \lambda_1 \leq \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}} \omega_2(q_1 q_2) \mu_2 \lambda_1^{s_{\tau_1}+s_{\tau_2}-2} \mu_2^{s_{\tau_2}-1} \\ & \quad \times \tilde{\Theta}_{Z_{0,1}}(\Psi, \mu, q, u_0) d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times \mu_2 d^\times q du_0 \\ & = \delta_{\text{st},1}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}} \omega_2(q_1 q_2) \mu_2^{s_{\tau_2}} \frac{\alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{-\frac{s_{\tau_1}+s_{\tau_2}-2}{2}}}{s_{\tau_1}+s_{\tau_2}-2} \\ & \quad \times \tilde{\Theta}_{Z_{0,1}}(\Psi, \mu, q, u_0) d^\times \mu_2 d^\times q du_0 \\ & = \frac{T_{\text{st},1}^1(\Psi, \omega, s_{\tau_2}, -\frac{s_{\tau_1}+s_{\tau_2}-2}{2})}{s_{\tau_1}+s_{\tau_2}-2}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\Xi_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau})=(r_1, r_2)} \frac{T_{\text{st},1}^1(\Psi, \omega, s_{\tau_2}, -\frac{s_{\tau_1}+s_{\tau_2}-2}{2})}{s_{\tau_1}+s_{\tau_2}-2} ds_{\tau}.$$

If $\tau \neq (\nu, \nu)$, we choose $r_1, r_2 > 1$ close enough to 1. This implies $\tilde{L}(r) < L(\rho)$. So we only have to consider $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Then we get the proposition by moving the contour from $r_1, r_2 > 1$ to $(2, \frac{1}{2})$ as before.

Q.E.D.

We define the adjusting term corresponding to $V_{\text{st},1k}^{\text{ss}}$ as follows.

Definition (4.19)

$$(1) \quad T_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1) = \frac{d}{ds_2} \Big|_{s_2=0} T_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1, s_2),$$

$$(2) \quad T_{\text{st},1+}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1) = \frac{d}{ds_2} \Big|_{s_2=0} T_{\text{st},1+}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1, s_2),$$

$$(3) \quad T_{\text{st},1}^1(\Phi, \omega, s_1) = \frac{d}{ds_2} \Big|_{s_2=0} T_{\text{st},1}^1(\Phi, \omega, s_1, s_2).$$

By Proposition (4.4), $T_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re}(s) + \text{Re}(s_1) > 6$, and $T_{\text{st},1+}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1)$, $T_{\text{st},1}^1(\Phi, \omega, s_1)$ are entire functions.

§5 The adjusting term II

We consider $\Xi_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ in this section.

Let

$$g_{\text{st},2} = (n(u_0)t_1, g_2), \quad g_{\text{st},2}^0 = (n(u_0)a(t_{11}, t_{12}), g_2),$$

where $u_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$, $t_1 = a(t_{11}\underline{\lambda}_{1k_1}^{-1}, t_{12}\underline{\lambda}_{1k_1}) \in T_{1\mathbb{A}}^0$, $t_{11}, t_{12} \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1$, and $g_2 \in G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0$. We define

$$(5.1) \quad X_2 = \{g_{\text{st},2} \mid \lambda_1 \leq \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

Let

$$dg_{\text{st}} = d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times t_{11} d^\times t_{12} du_0 dg_2, \quad dg_{\text{st}}^0 = d^\times t_{11} d^\times t_{12} du_0 dg_2.$$

Then dg_{st} is an invariant measure on X_2 . As in [5, p. 112], $K_1 X_2 / (T_{1k} \times G_{2k})$ is the fundamental domain for $G_{\mathbb{A}}^0 / H_{2k}$.

For $x, y \in k_1$, we define

$$(5.2) \quad w_{x,y} = v_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} + v_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y^\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $s, s_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. We define

$$(5.3) \quad f_2(\Phi, \lambda, g_{\text{st},2}^0, s, s_1) = \omega(g_{\text{st},2}^0) \lambda^s \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{s_1} \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, \underline{\lambda} g_{\text{st},2}^0).$$

Definition (5.4)

$$(1) \quad T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1 / k_1^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 / G_{2k}} f_2(\Phi, \lambda, g_{\text{st},2}^0, s, s_1) d^\times \lambda dg_{\text{st},2}^0,$$

$$(2) \quad T_{\text{st},2+}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1) = \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1 / k_1^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 / G_{2k} \\ \lambda \geq 1}} f_2(\Phi, \lambda, g_{\text{st},2}^0, s, s_1) d^\times \lambda dg_{\text{st},2}^0,$$

$$(3) \quad T_{\text{st},2}^1(\Phi, \omega, s_1) = \int_{(\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1 / k_1^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 / G_{2k}} f_2(\Phi, 1, g_{\text{st},2}^0, s, s_1) dg_{\text{st},2}^0.$$

Proposition (5.5) *The integral (5.4)(1) converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 6$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) + 4\operatorname{Re}(s_1) > 6$, and the integrals (5.4)(2), (5.4)(3) are entire functions.*

Proof. Let $b_{\text{st},2+}^0 = (n(u_0), a(\underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}, \underline{\lambda}_2))$, and $db_{\text{st},2+}^0 = d^\times \lambda_2 du_0$. Let $C \subset \widehat{T}_{1\mathbb{A}}^0$ be a compact set which surjects to $\widehat{T}_{1\mathbb{A}}^0/T_{1k}$. We choose $0 \leq \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$ so that

$$\Phi((a(t_{11}, t_{12}), g_2)x) \ll \Psi((1, a(\underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}, \underline{\lambda}_2))x)$$

for $a(t_{11}, t_{12}) \in C$, $g_2 \in \widehat{\Omega}_2 T_{2\eta+}^0$. Then

$$\Phi(\underline{\lambda} g_{\text{st},2}^0 w_{x,y}) \ll \Psi(\underline{\lambda}(n(t_{11}t_{12}^{-1}u_0), a(\underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}, \underline{\lambda}_2))w_{x,y}).$$

When we integrate with respect to $u_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$, we can ignore $t_{11}t_{12}^{-1}$. So we only have to consider the integral

$$(5.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times T_{2\eta+}^0} \lambda^\sigma \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{\sigma_1} \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}}(\Psi, \underline{\lambda} b_{\text{st},2+}^0) d^\times \lambda db_{\text{st},2+}^0.$$

If $z = \underline{\lambda} b_{\text{st},2+}^0 w_{x,y} = (z_{ij})$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_{10} &= z_{20} = 0, \\ z_{11} &= \underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} x, \quad z_{12} = \operatorname{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} x u_0), \\ z_{21} &= \underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2 y, \quad z_{22} = \operatorname{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2 y u_0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Psi_1 = R_{0,2}\Psi$. Then

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}}(\Psi, \underline{\lambda} b_{\text{st},2+}^0) \\ &= \sum_{x,y} \Psi_1(\underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2 y, \operatorname{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} x u_0), \operatorname{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2 y u_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all $x, y \in k_1$ such that x, y are linearly independent over k . Let $u_3 = \operatorname{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} x u_0)$, $u_4 = \operatorname{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\underline{\lambda} \underline{\lambda}_2 y u_0)$.

Suppose

$$x = x_1 + x_2 \alpha_0, \quad y = y_1 + y_2 \alpha_0, \quad u_0 = u_{01} + u_{02} \alpha_0$$

$(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in k, u_{01}, u_{02} \in \mathbb{A})$.

Then $du_0 = \lambda^{-2} du_3 du_4$ and

$$(5.9) \quad \underline{\lambda}^{-1} u_3 = 2 \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} (x_1 u_{01} + x_2 u_{02} \alpha_0^2), \quad \underline{\lambda}^{-1} u_4 = 2 \underline{\lambda}_2 (y_1 u_{01} + y_2 u_{02} \alpha_0^2).$$

So

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\lambda}^{-1} \underline{\lambda}_2 u_3 \\ \underline{\lambda}^{-1} \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} u_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{01} \\ u_{02} \alpha_0^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\Delta(x, y) = 2(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)$. Since $\Delta(x, y) \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} u_{01} \\ u_{02} \alpha_0^2 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{\underline{\lambda}^{-1}}{\Delta(x, y)} \begin{pmatrix} y_2 & -x_2 \\ -y_1 & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\lambda}_2 u_3 \\ \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} u_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\underline{\lambda}^{-1}}{\Delta(x, y)} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\lambda}_2 y_2 u_3 - \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} x_2 u_4 \\ -\underline{\lambda}_2 y_1 u_3 + \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} x_1 u_4 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$u_0 = \frac{\underline{\lambda}^{-2}}{\Delta(x, y)} ((\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y_2 u_3 - \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x_2 u_4) + (-\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y_1 u_3 + \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x_1 u_4) \alpha_0^{-1}).$$

Let

$$f(x, y, u_3, u_4) = (y_2 u_3 - x_2 u_4) + (-y_1 u_3 + x_1 u_4) \alpha_0^{-1}$$

for $x = x_1 + x_2 \alpha_0, y = y_1 + y_2 \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}$, and $u_3, u_4 \in \mathbb{A}$. Then

$$(5.10) \quad u_0 = \frac{\underline{\lambda}^{-2}}{\Delta(x, y)} f(\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y, u_3, u_4).$$

Let $L \subset k_1$ be a lattice and $F \subset \mathbb{A}_{k_1 f}$ a compact set such that

$$\Psi_1(\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y, u_3, u_4) = 0$$

unless $x, y \in L$ and the finite parts of u_3, u_4 belong to F . Then there is another compact set $F_1 \subset \mathbb{A}_{k_1 f}$ such that the finite part of $f(\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y, u_3, u_4)$ belongs to F_1 as long as the above condition is satisfied. So if $\sigma_1 \leq 0$, by the consideration in [5, p. 117],

$$(5.11) \quad \alpha_{k_1}(u_0) \ll |\underline{\lambda}|_{k_1}^{2\sigma_1} \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}_{k_1 \infty}} \alpha_v(\lambda^2 \Delta(x, y))^{\sigma_1} \alpha_v(f(\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y, u_3, u_4))^{\sigma_1}.$$

Since each factor on the right hand side of (5.11) is a polynomial growth function of the infinite parts of $\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y, u_3, u_4$, there exists $0 \leq \Psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(Z_{0,2\mathbb{A}})$ such that

$$\alpha(u_0)_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} \Psi_1(\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y, u_3, u_4) \ll \lambda^{4\sigma_1} \Psi_2(\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y, u_3, u_4)$$

as long as σ_1 ranges over a compact set.

If $\sigma_1 \geq 0$, $\alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{\sigma_1} \leq 1$. Note that if x, y are linearly independent over k , $x, y \neq 0$. So if we define

$$\Psi_3(x_{11}, x_{21}) = \int_{\mathbb{A}^2} \Psi_2(x_{11}, x_{21}, u_3, u_4) du_3 du_4,$$

(5.6) is bounded by a constant multiple of

$$(5.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times T_{2\eta}^0} \lambda^{\sigma-2} \sup(1, \lambda^{4\sigma_1}) \sum_{x, y \in k_1^\times} \Psi_3(\underline{\lambda}\lambda_2^{-1} x, \underline{\lambda}\lambda_2 y) d^\times \lambda d^\times \lambda_2.$$

It is easy to see that (5.12) converges absolutely if $\sigma > 6$, $\sigma + 4\sigma_1 > 6$.

If $\lambda \geq 1$ or $\lambda = 1$, it is easy to see that the integral analogous to (5.12) always converges. This proves Proposition (5.5).

Q.E.D.

Proposition (5.13) *Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Then,*

$$\Xi_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim \frac{\varrho}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r_1>1} \frac{T_{\text{st},2}^1(\Phi, \omega, -\frac{s_{\tau 1}-1}{2})}{s_{\tau 1}-1} \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau 1}.$$

Proof. We first prove that $\mathcal{E}(g_{\text{st},2}, w)$ can be replaced by $G(\lambda_1, w)$ (in (2.29)). Note that the function $G(\lambda_1, w)$ does not depend on g_2 and therefore, is a well defined function on $X_2/T_2 \times G_{2k}$. By Proposition (2.30), $\mathcal{E}(g_{\text{st},2}, w) - G(\lambda_1, w)$ is bounded by a constant multiple of a finite sum of functions of the form $\lambda_1^{c_1} \lambda_2^{c_2}$ where $c_1 > 0$ for $g_2 \in \widehat{\Omega}_2 T_{2\eta+}^0$.

Let $b_{\text{st},2+} = (n(u_0), 1)d(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, and $db_{\text{st},2+} = d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times \lambda_2 du_0$. By the argument of Proposition (5.5), we only have to prove the convergence of integrals of the form

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \\ \lambda_1 \leq \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}} \lambda_1^{c_1} \lambda_2^{c_2} \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Psi, b_{\text{st},2+}) db_{\text{st},2+},$$

where $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$.

Since $c_1 > 0$, (5.14) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}} \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{-\frac{c_1}{2}} \lambda_2^{c_2} \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Psi, b_{\text{st},2+}^0) db_{\text{st},2+}^0,$$

which converges by the proof of Proposition (5.5). Therefore,

$$\Xi_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim \int_{X_2/(T_{1k} \times G_{2k})} \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, g_{\text{st},2}) G(\lambda_1, w) dg_{\text{st},2}.$$

Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Note that $\Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, g_{\text{st},2}) = \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, g_{\text{st},2}^0)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X_2/(T_{1k} \times G_{2k})} \omega(g_{\text{st},2}^0) \lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1}-1} \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{s_1} \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, g_{\text{st},2}^0) d^\times \lambda_1 dg_{\text{st},2}^0 \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1/k_1^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0/G_{2k}} \omega(g_{\text{st},2}^0) \frac{\alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{-\frac{s_{\tau 1}-1}{2}}}{s_{\tau 1}-1} \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, g_{\text{st},2}^0) dg_{\text{st},2}^0 \\ &= \frac{T_{\text{st},2}^1(\Phi, \omega, -\frac{s_{\tau 1}-1}{2})}{s_{\tau 1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X_2/T_2 \times G_{2k}} \omega(g_{\text{st},2}^0) \Theta_{Z'_{0,2k}{}^{\text{ss}}}(\Phi, g_{\text{st},2}) G(\lambda_1, w) dg_{\text{st},2} \\ & \sim \frac{\varrho}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r_1>1} \frac{T_{\text{st},2}^1(\Phi, \omega, -\frac{s_{\tau 1}-1}{2})}{s_{\tau 1}-1} \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition.

Q.E.D.

We define the adjusting term corresponding to $V_{\text{st},2k}^{\text{ss}}$ as follows.

Definition (5.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s) &= \frac{d}{ds_1} \Big|_{s_1=0} T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1), \\
(2) \quad T_{\text{st},2+}(\Phi, \omega, s) &= \frac{d}{ds_1} \Big|_{s_1=0} T_{\text{st},2+}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1), \\
(3) \quad T_{\text{st},2}^1(\Phi, \omega) &= \frac{d}{ds_1} \Big|_{s_1=0} T_{\text{st},2}^1(\Phi, \omega, s_1).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition (5.5) that $T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re}(s) > 6$ and $T_{\text{st},2+}(\Phi, \omega, s)$ is an entire function.

We show that $T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1)$ has an Euler product. Let $\delta_{\text{st},2}(\omega) = \delta(\omega_1(\omega_2 \circ N_{k_1/k})^{-1})$.

Proposition (5.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1) \\
&= \delta_{\text{st},2}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0} \omega_2(\det g_2) \lambda^s \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{s_1} \Phi(\underline{\lambda} g_2 w_2) d^\times \lambda du_0 dg_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The group $G_{w_2k} \cong \text{GL}(1)_{k_1} \times \text{GL}(1)_{k_1}$ is a subgroup of $T_1 \times G_{2k}$, and the imbedding is given by $(t_{11}, t_{12}) \rightarrow (a(t_{11}, t_{12}), A(t_{12}, t_{11}))$ (see §1). We use $d^\times t_{11} d^\times t_{12}$ as the measure on $G_{w_2\mathbb{A}}$. Let $G_{w_2\mathbb{A}}^0 = (\mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1)^2$. The volume of $G_{w_2\mathbb{A}}^0/G_{w_2k}$ is 1. It is easy to see that $(T_{1k} \times G_{2k})/G_{w_2k} \cong Z'_{0,2k}^{\text{ss}}$ and this is given by $(t_1, g_2) \rightarrow (t_1, g_2)w_2$. Also it is easy to see that

$$\omega(a(t_{11}, t_{12}), A(t_{12}, t_{11})) = \omega_1(t_{11}t_{12})\omega_2(N_{k_1/k}(t_{11}t_{12})).$$

Since $G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0$ is isomorphic to its image in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \widehat{T}_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0/G_{w_2\mathbb{A}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s, s_1) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times \widehat{T}_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0/G_{w_2k}} \omega(g_{\text{st},2}^0) \lambda^s \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{s_1} \Phi(\underline{\lambda} g_{\text{st},2}^0 w_2) d^\times \lambda dg_{\text{st},2}^0 \\
&= \delta_{\text{st},2}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}^0} \omega_2(\det g_2) \lambda^s \alpha_{k_1}(u_0)^{s_1} \Phi(\underline{\lambda} g_2 w_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Clearly, the above integral can be rewritten as an integral on $\mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times G_{2\mathbb{A}}$. Therefore, it has an Euler product.

§6 Contributions from $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_3$

We consider contributions from $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_3$ in this section. We first consider $\mathfrak{p}_1$.

Lemma (6.1) $\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim 0$.

Proof. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in k_1 \times k$, we define

$$f_\alpha(t^0) = \int_{N_{\mathbb{A}}/N_k} \Theta_{Y_{1k}^{ss}}(\Phi, t^0 n(u)) < \alpha n(u) > du,$$

By the Parseval formula,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \int_{T_{\mathbb{A}}^0/T_k} \sum_{\alpha \in k_1 \times k \setminus \{(0,0)\}} f_\alpha(t^0) \mathcal{E}_\alpha(t^0, w) \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 d^\times t^0.$$

Lemma (6.2) For any $M \gg 0$,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in k_1 \times k \setminus \{(0,0)\}} |f_{1,\alpha}(t^0)| \ll \text{rd}_{2,M}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

Proof. Let

$$A(x, t^0, u) = (\gamma_{12}(t^0)x_{12}, \gamma_{21}(t^0)x_{21}, \gamma_{22}(t^0)(x_{22} + \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(x_{21}u_1) + x_{12}u_2)).$$

Since $N_{\mathbb{A}}/N_k$ is compact,

$$(6.3) \quad f_{1,\alpha}(t^0) = \sum_{\substack{x_{12} \in k^\times, \\ x_{21} \in k_1^\times}} \int_{N_{\mathbb{A}}/N_k} \sum_{x_{22} \in k} \tilde{R}_1 \Phi(A(x, t^0, u)) < \alpha n(u) > du.$$

Let

$$u'_1 = u'_1(x, u) = x_{21}u_1 \in \mathbb{A}_{k_1}, \quad u'_2 = u'_2(x, u) = \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(x_{21}u_1) + x_{12}u_2 \in \mathbb{A}.$$

Then

$$u_1 = x_{21}^{-1}u'_1, \quad u_2 = x_{12}^{-1}(u'_2 - \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(u'_1)).$$

So

$$\text{Tr}_{k_1/k}(\alpha_1 u_1) + \alpha_2 u_2 = \text{Tr}_{k_1/k}((\alpha_1 x_{21}^{-1} - \alpha_2 x_{12}^{-1})u'_1) + \alpha_2 x_{12}^{-1}u'_2.$$

Therefore, for fixed x_{12}, x_{21} ,

$$\int_{N_{\mathbb{A}}/N_k} \sum_{x_{22} \in k} \tilde{R}_1 \Phi(A(x, t^0, u)) < \alpha n(u) > du = 0$$

unless $\alpha_1 = x_{12}^{-1}x_{21}\alpha_2$.

Suppose $\alpha_1 = x_{12}^{-1} x_{21} \alpha_2$. This implies that the condition $\alpha \neq (0, 0)$ is equivalent to the condition $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \neq 0$. Let Φ_1 be the partial Fourier transform of $\tilde{R}_1 \Phi$ with respect to the coordinate x_{22} and the character $< >$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{N_{\mathbb{A}}/N_k} \sum_{x_{22} \in k} \tilde{R}_1 \Phi(A(x, t^0, u)) < \alpha n(u) > du \\ & = |\gamma_{22}(t^0)|^{-1} \Phi_1(\gamma_{12}(t^0)x_{12}, \gamma_{21}(t^0)x_{21}, \gamma_{22}(t^0)^{-1}\alpha_2 x_{12}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in k_1 \times k \setminus \{(0,0)\}} |f_{1,\alpha}(t^0)| \\ & \ll (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{x_{12}, \alpha_2 \in k^\times, \\ x_{21} \in k_1^\times}} |\Phi_1(\gamma_{12}(t^0)x_{12}, \gamma_{21}(t^0)x_{21}, \gamma_{22}(t^0)^{-1}\alpha_2 x_{12}^{-1})|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma (1.2.6) [5], for any $N_1, N_2, N_3 \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in k_1 \times k \setminus \{(0,0)\}} |f_{1,\alpha}(t^0)| \ll (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{-1} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1})^{-N_1} (\lambda_2)^{-2N_2} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{N_3}.$$

Since the convex hull of $\{(1, -1), (0, 2), (-1, -1)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ contains a neighborhood of the origin of $\mathbb{R}^2$, the lemma follows.

Q.E.D.

We continue the proof of (6.1). By Proposition (2.30), there exists a slowly increasing function $h(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that on any vertical strip in $\text{Re}(w) > L(\rho) - \delta$,

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(t^0, w) \ll h(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

and this bound is uniform with respect to $\alpha \in k_1 \times k \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. So for any $M \gg 0$,

$$\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\Phi, \omega, w) \ll \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 h(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \text{rd}_{2,M}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times \lambda_2 < \infty.$$

This proves Lemma (6.1).

Q.E.D.

Consider $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\Phi, \omega, w)$.

For $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ as before, we define $\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega) = \delta(\omega_1|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} \omega_2^{-1}) \delta(\omega_1^2)$ and $\omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1} = (\omega_2, \omega_1)$. For each Weyl group element τ , we define

$$(6.4) \quad \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_\tau) = \frac{\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega)}{2} \Sigma_{1,1} \left(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, s_{\tau 1}, \frac{s_{\tau 1} + s_{\tau 2}}{2} \right).$$

Proposition (6.5) *Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Then,*

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim \frac{\varrho}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r_1 > 1} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau 1}, 1) \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau 1}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\gamma_{12}(t^0) = \underline{\lambda}_1 \underline{\lambda}_2^{-1} N_{k_1/k}(t_{12}) t_{21}, \quad \gamma_{21}(t^0) = \underline{\lambda}_2 t_{11} t_{12}^\sigma t_{22}.$$

If $f(q_1, q_2)$ is a function of $(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{A}^1/k^\times \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1/k_1^\times$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\widehat{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^0/T_k} \omega(\widehat{t}^0) f(N_{k_1/k}(t_{12}) t_{21}, t_{11} t_{12}^\sigma t_{22}) d^\times \widehat{t}^0 \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^1/k_1^\times} \omega_2(q_1) \omega_1(q_2) f(q_1, q_2) d^\times q_1 d^\times q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t' = (t'_1, t'_2) = (\underline{\mu}_1 q_1, \underline{\mu}_{2k_1} q_2) \in \mathbb{A}^\times \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times$. We make the change of variables $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1}$, $\mu_2 = \lambda_2^2$. Then $d^\times \lambda_1 d^\times \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} d^\times \mu_1 d^\times \mu_2$. Also for s_τ as in (2.26),

$$\lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1}-1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2}-1} (t^0)^{-2\rho} |\gamma_{22}(t^0)|^{-1} = \mu_1^{s_{\tau 1}} \mu_2^{\frac{s_{\tau 1}+s_{\tau 2}}{2}}.$$

Therefore, if $\text{Re}(s_{\tau 1}), \text{Re}(s_{\tau 2}) > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_{\mathbb{A}}^0/T_k} \omega(t^0) \Theta_{1,1}(R_1 \Phi, \gamma_{12}(t^0), \gamma_{21}(t^0)) |\gamma_{22}(t^0)|^{-1} \lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1}-1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2}-1} d^\times t^0 \\ &= \frac{\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times \times \mathbb{A}_{k_1}^\times/k_1^\times} \omega_2(t'_1) \omega_1(t'_2) \Theta_{1,1}(R_1 \Phi, t'_1, t'_2) |t'_1|^{s_{\tau 1}} |t'_2|^{\frac{s_{\tau 1}+s_{\tau 2}}{2}} d^\times t'_1 d^\times t'_2 \\ &= \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_\tau). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \sum_{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\substack{\text{Re}(s_\tau) = (r_1, r_2) \\ r_1, r_2 > 1}} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_\tau) \widetilde{\Lambda}_\tau(w; s_\tau) ds_\tau.$$

If $\tau \neq (\nu, \nu)$, we can choose r_1, r_2 close enough to 1 so that $\widetilde{L}(r) < L(\rho)$. So

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\substack{\text{Re}(s_\tau) = (r_1, r_2) \\ r_1, r_2 > 1}} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_\tau) \widetilde{\Lambda}_\tau(w; s_\tau) ds_\tau \sim 0.$$

Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Since $C > 4$, $\widetilde{L}(2, \frac{1}{2}) = 2 + \frac{1}{2}C < 1 + C = L(\rho)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\substack{\text{Re}(s_\tau) = (r_1, r_2) \\ r_1, r_2 > 1}} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_\tau) \widetilde{\Lambda}_\tau(w; s_\tau) ds_\tau \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\text{Re}(s_\tau) = (2, \frac{1}{2})} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_\tau) \widetilde{\Lambda}_\tau(w; s_\tau) ds_\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{\varrho}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau 1}) = r_1 > 1} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau 1}, 1) \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \widetilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau 1} \\ &\sim \frac{\varrho}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau 1}) = r_1 > 1} \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau 1}, 1) \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \widetilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition.

Q.E.D.

Next we consider $\mathfrak{p}_3$.

Proposition (6.6)

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \sum_{\tau} \frac{\delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r>1} \Sigma_1(R_3\Phi, s_{\tau 1} + 1) \tilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(w; s_{\tau 1}, s_{\tau 1}) ds_{\tau 1}.$$

Proof. If $f(q)$ is a function of $q \in \mathbb{A}^1/k^{\times}$,

$$(6.7) \quad \int_{\widehat{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^0/T_k} f(N_{k_1/k}(t_{12})t_{22}) d^{\times} \widehat{t}^0 = \delta_{\#}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{A}^1/k^{\times}} f(q) d^{\times} q.$$

For s_{τ} as in (2.26),

$$\lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1}-1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2}-1} (t^0)^{-2\rho} = \lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1}+1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2}+1}.$$

Since $|\gamma_{22}(t^0)| = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$, we make the change of variables $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$, $\mu_2 = \lambda_2$. Then $\lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1}+1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2}+1} = \mu_1^{s_{\tau 1}+1} \mu_2^{s_{\tau 2}-s_{\tau 1}}$. Since $\gamma_{22}(t^0)$ does not depend on μ_2 , by the Mellin inversion formula (or by using (3.5.17) [5] more precisely),

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \sum_{\tau} \frac{\delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r>1} \Sigma_1(R_3\Phi, s_{\tau 1} + 1) \tilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(w; s_{\tau 1}, s_{\tau 1}) ds_{\tau 1}.$$

Q.E.D.

It turns out that $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ will cancelled out with a distribution which arises when we move the contour defining $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w)$.

§7 Contributions from $\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_{41}, \mathfrak{p}_{42}$

We consider contributions from $\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_{41}, \mathfrak{p}_{42}$ in this section. We first have to define certain distributions.

We identify Z_2 with W . We denote the Fourier transform which we defined in §3 by $\mathcal{F}_W$.

We first consider $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w)$, $\widehat{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w)$.

If f is a function of $q \in \mathbb{A}^1/k^{\times}$,

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{(\mathbb{A}^1/k^{\times})^2} \omega_2(t_{21}t_{22}) f(t_{22}) d^{\times} t_{21} d^{\times} t_{22} = \delta(\omega_2) \int_{\mathbb{A}^1/k^{\times}} f(q) d^{\times} q.$$

Let $g_1 \in G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0$, $t_2 = a(\underline{\lambda}_2^{-1}t_{21}, \underline{\lambda}_2 t_{22}) \in T_{2\mathbb{A}}^0$. We define $d^{\times} t_2 = d^{\times} \lambda_2 d^{\times} t_{21} d^{\times} t_{22}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(g_1, t_2) &= \lambda_2^2, \quad \kappa_{\mathfrak{d}1}(g_1, t_2) = \lambda_2^{-4}, \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(g_1, t_2) \kappa_{\mathfrak{d}1}(g_1, t_2) = \lambda_2^{-2}, \\ \kappa_{\mathfrak{d}2}(g_1, t_2) &= 1, \quad \chi(g_1, t_2) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

(the last equation is because W is an irreducible representation). For the definition of these values, see [5, pp. 73, 86].

Proposition (7.3) (1) $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim C_G \Lambda(w; \rho) \delta(\omega_2) Z_{W+}(R_2 \Phi, (1, \omega_1), 2)$.

(2) $\widehat{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim C_G \Lambda(w; \rho) \delta(\omega_2) Z_{W+}(\mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi, (1, \omega_1^{-1}), 2)$.

Proof. Let

$$A(\Phi, g_1, \lambda_2, q) = \omega_1(\det g_1) \lambda_2^2 \Theta_{Z_{2k}^{ss}}(R_2 \Phi, \lambda_2 q g_1) \mathcal{E}_{N_2}((g_1, a(\lambda_2^{-1}, \lambda_2), w),$$

$$B(\Phi, g_1, \lambda_2, q) = \omega_1(\det g_1) \lambda_2^{-2} \Theta_{Z_{2k}^{ss}}(\mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi, \lambda_2^{-1} q^{-1} g_1^t) \mathcal{E}_{N_2}((g_1, a(\lambda_2^{-1}, \lambda_2), w).$$

Then by (2.19), (7.1), (7.2),

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \delta(\omega_2) \int_{\substack{G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 / G_{1k} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1 / k \times \\ \lambda_2 \geq 1}} A(\Phi, g_1, \lambda_2, q) dg_1 d^\times \lambda_2 d^\times q,$$

$$\widehat{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w) = \delta(\omega_2) \int_{\substack{G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 / G_{1k} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1 / k \times \\ \lambda_2 \leq 1}} B(\Phi, g_1, \lambda_2, q) dg_1 d^\times \lambda_2 d^\times q.$$

Roughly speaking, (3.4.31)(1) [5] says that if the theta series has a bound by power functions such that the integral of the above kind without the Eisenstein series, replacing the theta series by the bound, converges absolutely, then we can replace the Eisenstein series by $C_G \Lambda(w; \rho)$. This is the case because of (3.5). Therefore, the proposition follows.

Q.E.D.

Next, we consider $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w)$, $\widehat{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w)$.

Proposition (7.4) (1) $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2} \Lambda(w; \rho) R_2 \Phi(0)$

(2) $\widehat{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim -\frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2} \Lambda(w; \rho) \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi(0)$

Proof. By (7.2), and the Mellin inversion formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) &= \delta_{\#}(\omega) R_2 \Phi(0) \int_{\substack{G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 / G_{1k} \times T_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 / T_{2k} \\ \lambda_2 \leq 1}} \lambda_2^2 \mathcal{E}_{N_2}((g_1, t_2), w) dg_1 d^\times t_2 \\ &= \sum_{\tau=(1, \tau_2)} \frac{\delta_{\#}(\omega) R_2 \Phi(0)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau_2})=r_2>1} \frac{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{(1, \tau_2)}(w; -1, s_{\tau_2})}{s_{\tau_2} + 1} ds_{\tau_2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) &= \delta_{\#}(\omega) \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi(0) \int_{\substack{G_{1\mathbb{A}}^0 / G_{1k} \times T_{2\mathbb{A}}^0 / T_{2k} \\ \lambda_2 \leq 1}} \lambda_2^{-2} \mathcal{E}_{N_2}((g_1, t_2), w) dg_1 d^\times t_2 \\ &= \sum_{\tau=(1, \tau_2)} \frac{\delta_{\#}(\omega) R_2 \Phi(0)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau_2})=r_2>3} \frac{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{(1, \tau_2)}(w; -1, s_{\tau_2})}{s_{\tau_2} - 3} ds_{\tau_2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau_2 = 1$, we can choose $r_2 \gg 0$ and ignore the corresponding term. So we consider $\tau_2 = \nu$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) &\sim \frac{\delta_{\#}(\omega) R_2 \Phi(0)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau_2})=\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{(1, \tau_2)}(w; -1, s_{\tau_2})}{s_{\tau_2} + 1} ds_{\tau_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2} \Lambda(w; \rho) R_2 \Phi(0) \\ &\sim \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2} \Lambda(w; \rho) R_2 \Phi(0) \end{aligned}$$

Since ρ does not satisfy the condition $s_{\tau_2} = 3$, we can use the passing principle (3.6.1) [5], and we don't have to worry about the denominator $s_{\tau_2} - 3$ for $\widehat{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w)$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) &\sim \frac{\delta_{\#}(\omega) \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi(0)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau_2})=\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{(1,\tau_2)}(w; -1, s_{\tau_2})}{s_{\tau_2} - 3} ds_{\tau_2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2} \Lambda(w; \rho) \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi(0) \\ &\sim - \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2} \Lambda(w; \rho) \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi(0).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition.

Q.E.D.

Next, we consider $\Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w), \Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_{42}}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ (see [5, p. 71] for the definition of $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$).

Proposition (7.5)

$$\begin{aligned}(1) \quad \Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w) &\sim \delta_{\#}(\omega) \sum_{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\substack{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau})=(r_1, r_2) \\ r_2 > r_1 > 1}} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4 \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 2} - s_{\tau 1}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(w; s_{\tau}) ds_{\tau}, \\ (2) \quad \Xi_{\mathfrak{p}_{42}}(\Phi, \omega, w) &\sim \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r_1 > 1} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4 \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_{42}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 1} - 1} \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \widetilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau 1},\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$ in (2).

Proof. Let τ be a Weyl group element. By easy computations,

$$\begin{aligned}(7.6) \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}}(t^0) &= \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2, \\ \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_{42}}(t^0) &= (\lambda_2^2 \lambda_2^{-4})^{-1} \lambda_1^2 = \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2, \\ (t^0)^{\tau z + \rho} &= \lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1} - 1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2} - 1}, \\ \theta_{\mathfrak{d}_2}(t^0)^{\tau z + \rho} &= \lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1} - 1} (\lambda_2^{-1})^{s_{\tau 2} - 1} = \lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1} - 1} \lambda_2^{1 - s_{\tau 2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(7.7) \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}}(t^0)(t^0)^{\tau z + \rho} &= \lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1} + 1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2} + 1} = \mu^{s_{\tau 1} + 1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2} - s_{\tau 1}}, \\ \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_{42}}(t^0) \theta_{\mathfrak{d}_2}(t^0)^{\tau z + \rho} &= \lambda_1^{s_{\tau 1} + 1} \lambda_2^{3 - s_{\tau 2}} = \mu^{s_{\tau 1} + 1} \lambda_2^{2 - s_{\tau 1} - s_{\tau 2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1/k \times \\ \lambda_2 \leq 1}} \mu^{s_{\tau 1} + 1} \lambda_2^{s_{\tau 2} - s_{\tau 1}} \Theta_{Z_4}(R_4 \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}}, \underline{\mu} q) d^{\times} \mu d^{\times} q &= \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4 \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 2} - s_{\tau 1}}, \\ \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{A}^1/k \times \\ \lambda_2 \geq 1}} \mu^{s_{\tau 1} + 1} \lambda_2^{2 - s_{\tau 1} - s_{\tau 2}} \Theta_{Z_4}(R_4 \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_{42}}, \underline{\mu} q) d^{\times} \mu d^{\times} q &= \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4 \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_{42}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 1} + s_{\tau 2} - 2},\end{aligned}$$

by (2.21), (6.7), (7.6), (7.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w) \\
&= \delta_{\#}(\omega) \sum_{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\substack{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau})=(r_1, r_2) \\ r_2 > r_1 > 1}} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 2} - s_{\tau 1}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(w; s_{\tau}) ds_{\tau}, \\
& \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{42}}(\Phi, \omega, w) \\
&= \delta_{\#}(\omega) \sum_{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\substack{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau})=(r_1, r_2) \\ r_1, r_2 > 1}} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{42}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 1} + s_{\tau 2} - 2} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(w; s_{\tau}) ds_{\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider $\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{42}}(\Phi, \omega, w)$. If $\tau \neq (\nu, \nu)$, by choosing $r_1 \gg 0$ or $r_2 \gg 0$, we can ignore the corresponding term. Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Then by moving the contour from $r_1, r_2 > 1$ to $r_1 = 2, r_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, we get (2). This proves the proposition.

Q.E.D.

Note that by (3.6), (7.3), (7.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.6) \quad & \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w) + \hat{\Xi}_{\mathbf{p}_2+}(\Phi, \omega, w) + \hat{\Xi}_{\mathbf{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) - \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_2\#}(\Phi, \omega, w) \\
& \sim C_G \Lambda(w; \rho) \delta(\omega_2) Z_{W, (0)}(R_2\Phi, (1, \omega_1), 2).
\end{aligned}$$

§8 The principal part formula

Proposition (8.1) *Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_3}(\Phi, \omega, w) - \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w) \\
& \sim \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r_1 > 1} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 1} - 1} \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (6.6) and (7.5)(1), the residue of the integrand of $\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w)$ at $s_{\tau 2} = s_{\tau 1}$ is precisely $\Xi_{\mathbf{p}_3}(\Phi, \omega, w)$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_3}(\Phi, \omega, w) - \Xi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}(\Phi, \omega, w) \\
& \sim -\delta_{\#}(\omega) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\substack{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau})=(r_1, r_2) \\ r_1 > r_2 > 1}} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 2} - s_{\tau 1}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(w; s_{\tau}) ds_{\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\tau \neq (\nu, \nu)$, we can ignore the corresponding term as before. So we assume $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. Then the above integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\delta_{\#}(\omega) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^2 \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau})=(2, \frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 2} - s_{\tau 1}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\tau}(w; s_{\tau}) ds_{\tau} \\
& + \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r_1 > 1} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 1} - 1} \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau} \\
& \sim \frac{\varrho \delta_{\#}(\omega)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\operatorname{Re}(s_{\tau 1})=r_1 > 1} \frac{\Sigma_1(R_4\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau 1} + 1)}{s_{\tau 1} - 1} \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau 1}) \tilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau 1}, 1) ds_{\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Let $\tau = (\nu, \nu)$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.2) \quad J_1(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1}) &= T_{\text{st},1}^1 \left(R_{0,1} \Phi, \omega_{\text{st},1}, 1, -\frac{s_{\tau_1} - 1}{2} \right) \\
&\quad + T_{\text{st},2}^1 \left(\Phi, \omega, -\frac{s_{\tau_1} - 1}{2} \right) \\
&\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) \Sigma_1(R_4 \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}, s_{\tau_1} + 1) \\
&\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) \Sigma_1(R_4 \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{42}}, s_{\tau_1} + 1) \\
J_2(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1}) &= \frac{J_1(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1})}{s_{\tau_1} - 1} + \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1}, 1), \\
J_3(\Phi, \omega) &= \delta_{\#}(\omega) \mathfrak{Y}_{k_1,2} \mathfrak{Y}_2 \Phi(0) + \delta(\omega_2) Z_{W,(0)}(R_2 \Phi, (1, \omega_1), 2), \\
J_4(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau}) &= J_2(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, s_{\tau_1}) - J_2(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1}), \\
J_5(\Phi, \omega) &= J_3(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}) - J_3(\Phi, \omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $J_{2,(0)}(\Phi, \omega, 1)$ be the constant term of the Laurent expansion of $J_2(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1})$ at $s_{\tau_1} = 1$. By (2.18), (2.22), (4.16), (5.13), (6.5), (7.5), (7.6), (8.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\Phi, \omega, w) &\sim C_G \Lambda(w; \rho) J_5(\Phi, \omega) \\
&\quad + \frac{\varrho}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\text{Re}(s_{\tau_1})=r_1>1} J_4(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1}) \phi_{k_1}(s_{\tau_1}) \widetilde{\Lambda}(w; s_{\tau_1}, 1) ds_{\tau_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Wright's principle (3.7.1) [5], $J_2(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1})$ is holomorphic at $s_{\tau_1} = 1$ and

$$I(\Phi, \omega, w) \sim C_G \Lambda(w; \rho) (J_5(\Phi, \omega) + J_4(\Phi, \omega, 1)).$$

To compute $J_4(\Phi, \omega, 1)$, we just have to take the constant term of the Laurent expansion of each term of $J_4(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1})$, which is $J_{2,(0)}(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 1) - J_{2,(0)}(\Phi, \omega, 1)$. Let $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1,(0)}(\Phi, \omega, 1, 1)$ be the constant term of the Laurent expansion of $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\Phi, \omega, s_{\tau_1}, 1)$ at $s_{\tau_1} = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{2,(0)}(\Phi, \omega, 1) &= -\frac{1}{2} T_{\text{st},1}^1(\Phi, \omega, 1) - \frac{1}{2} T_{\text{st},2}^1(\Phi, \omega) \\
&\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) (\Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_4 \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{41}}, 2) + \Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_4 \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_{42}}, 2)) \\
&\quad + \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1,(0)}(\Phi, \omega, 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1,(0)}(\Phi, \omega, 1, 1) &= \frac{\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega)}{2} \Sigma_{1,1,(0,0)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega)}{4} \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad + \delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,1,(1,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing the Laurent expansions of both sides of the equation

$$\Sigma_{1,1}(R_1 \Phi_{\lambda}, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, s_1, s_2) = \lambda^{-s_1-2s_2-1} \Sigma_{1,1}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, s_1, s_2),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.3) \quad \Sigma_{1,1,(0,0)}(R_1 \Phi_\lambda, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) &= \lambda^{-4} \Sigma_{1,1,(0,0)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad - \lambda^{-4} \log \lambda \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,0)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad - 2\lambda^{-4} \log \lambda \Sigma_{1,1,(0,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad + 2\lambda^{-4} (\log \lambda)^2 \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1), \\
\Sigma_{1,1,(-1,1)}(R_1 \Phi_\lambda, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) &= \lambda^{-4} \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad - 2\lambda^{-4} \log \lambda \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,0)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad + 2\lambda^{-4} (\log \lambda)^2 \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1), \\
\Sigma_{1,1,(1,-1)}(R_1 \Phi_\lambda, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) &= \lambda^{-4} \Sigma_{1,1,(1,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad - \lambda^{-4} \log \lambda \Sigma_{1,1,(0,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda^{-4} (\log \lambda)^2}{2} \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega, 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.4) \quad F_{-1}(\Phi, \omega, 4) &= \frac{\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega)}{2} \Sigma_{1,1,(0,0)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega)}{4} \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad + \delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,1,(1,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1), \\
F_{-2}(\Phi, \omega, 4) &= \delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,0)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1) \\
&\quad + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,1,(0,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1), \\
F_{-3}(\Phi, \omega, 4) &= 2\delta_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,1,(-1,-1)}(R_1 \Phi, \omega_{\mathfrak{d}_1}, 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.5) \quad \Sigma_{\mathfrak{d}_1,(0)}(R_1 \Phi_\lambda, \omega, 1, 1) &= \lambda^{-4} F_{-1}(\Phi, \omega, 4) \\
&\quad - \lambda^{-4} \log \lambda F_{-2}(\Phi, \omega, 4) \\
&\quad + \lambda^{-4} (\log \lambda)^2 F_{-3}(\Phi, \omega, 4).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_{41}} = R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi$, $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_{42}} = R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi$, and $\delta(\omega_2) \delta_{W,1}((1, \omega_1)) = \delta_{\#}(\omega)$.

By comparing the Laurent expansions of both sides of the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_W(R_1 \Phi_\lambda, (1, \omega_1), s) &= \lambda^{-s} Z_W(R_1 \Phi, (1, \omega_1), s), \\
\Sigma_1(R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi_\lambda, s) &= \lambda^{-s} \Sigma_1(R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi, s), \\
\Sigma_1(R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi_\lambda, s) &= \lambda^{s-4} \Sigma_1(R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi, s),
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.6) \quad Z_{W,(0)}(R_1 \Phi_\lambda, (1, \omega_1), 2) &= \lambda^{-2} Z_{W,(0)}(R_1 \Phi, (1, \omega_1), 2) \\
&\quad - \lambda^{-2} \log \lambda Z_{W,(-1)}(R_1 \Phi, (1, \omega_1), 2), \\
\Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi_\lambda, 2) &= \lambda^{-2} \Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi, 2) \\
&\quad - \lambda^{-2} \log \lambda \Sigma_{1,(0)}(R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi, 2), \\
\Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi_\lambda, 2) &= \lambda^{-2} \Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi, 2) \\
&\quad + \lambda^{-2} \log \lambda \Sigma_{1,(0)}(R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi, 2).
\end{aligned}$$

We put

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{-1}(\Phi, \omega, 2) &= \delta(\omega_2) Z_{W,(0)}(R_2 \Phi, (1, \omega_1), 2) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi, 2) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi, 2). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\omega_2) Z_{W,(-1)}(R_2 \Phi, (1, \omega_1), 2) &= \delta_{\#}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,(0)}(R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi, 2) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,(0)}(R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi, 2). \end{aligned}$$

So by (8.6),

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^{-2} F_{-1}(\Phi, \omega, 2) &= \delta(\omega_2) Z_{W,(0)}(R_2 \Phi_{\lambda}, (1, \omega_1), 2) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_{W,1} R_2 \Phi_{\lambda}, 2) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) \Sigma_{1,(1)}(R_{W,1} \mathcal{F}_W R_2 \Phi_{\lambda}, 2). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$(8.9) \quad \Phi_{\lambda}(0) = \Phi(0), \quad \widehat{\Phi_{\lambda}}(0) = \lambda^{-8} \widehat{\Phi}(0).$$

Then by (8.5), (8.8), (8.9),

$$(8.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &J_3(\Phi_{\lambda}, \omega) + J_{2,(0)}(\Phi_{\lambda}, \omega, 1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} T_{\text{st},1}^1(\Phi_{\lambda}, \omega, 1) - \frac{1}{2} T_{\text{st},2}^1(\Phi_{\lambda}, \omega) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega) \mathfrak{Y}_{2,k_1} \mathfrak{Y}_2 \Phi(0) + \lambda^{-2} F_{-1}(\Phi, \omega, 2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1,2,3} \lambda^{-4} (-\log \lambda)^{i-1} F_{-i}(\Phi, \omega, 4). \end{aligned}$$

By similar computations, it is possible to show

$$(8.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &J_3(\widehat{\Phi_{\lambda}}, \omega^{-1}) + J_{2,(0)}(\widehat{\Phi_{\lambda}}, \omega^{-1}, 1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} T_{\text{st},1}^1(\widehat{\Phi_{\lambda}}, \omega^{-1}, 1) - \frac{1}{2} T_{\text{st},2}^1(\widehat{\Phi_{\lambda}}, \omega^{-1}) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-8} \delta_{\#}(\omega) \mathfrak{Y}_{2,k_1} \mathfrak{Y}_2 \widehat{\Phi}(0) + \lambda^{-6} F_{-1}(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1,2,3} \lambda^{-4} (\log \lambda)^{i-1} F_{-i}(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 4). \end{aligned}$$

The verification of (8.11) is left to the reader.

We put

$$(8.12) \quad F(\Phi, \omega, s) = \frac{F_{-1}(\Phi, \omega, 2)}{s-2} + \sum_{i=1,2,3} \frac{F_{-i}(\Phi, \omega, 4)}{(s-4)^i}.$$

Definition (8.13)

$$Z_{\text{ad}}(\Phi, \omega, s) = Z(\Phi, \omega, s) - \frac{1}{2}T_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, s, 1) - \frac{1}{2}T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s).$$

We call $Z_{\text{ad}}(\Phi, \omega, s)$ the adjusted zeta function.

It is easy to see that

$$(8.14) \quad \int_0^1 \lambda^s T_{\text{st},1}^1(R_{0,1}\Phi_\lambda, \omega, 1) = T_{\text{st},1}(R_{0,1}\Phi, \omega, 1) - T_{\text{st},1+}(R_{0,1}\Phi, \omega, 1),$$

$$\int_0^1 \lambda^s T_{\text{st},1}^1(R_{0,1}\widehat{\Phi}_\lambda, \omega, 1) = T_{\text{st},1+}(R_{0,1}\widehat{\Phi}, \omega, 1).$$

The integrals of $T_{\text{st},2}^1(R_{0,2}\Phi_\lambda, \omega)$, etc. are similar.

Therefore, by (8.10), (8.11), (8.14), we get the following theorem.

Theorem (8.15) *Suppose that $\Phi = M_\omega \Phi$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{ad}}(\Phi, \omega, s) &= Z_+(\Phi, \omega, s) + Z_+(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 8-s) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}T_{\text{st},1+}(\Phi, \omega, s, 1) - \frac{1}{2}T_{\text{st},1+}(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 8-s, 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}T_{\text{st},2+}(\Phi, \omega, s) - \frac{1}{2}T_{\text{st},2+}(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 8-s) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\#}(\omega)\mathfrak{Y}_{k_1,2}\mathfrak{Y}_2 \left(\frac{\widehat{\Phi}(0)}{s-8} - \frac{\Phi(0)}{s} \right) + F(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 8-s) - F(\Phi, \omega, s). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{\text{st},1}(\Phi, \omega, s, 1)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re}(s) > 5$ and $T_{\text{st},2}(\Phi, \omega, s)$ is holomorphic for $\text{Re}(s) > 6$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary (8.16) *The unadjusted zeta function $Z(\Phi, \omega, s)$ can be continued meromorphically to $\text{Re}(s) > 6$ having the only possible simple pole at $s = 8$ with residue $\delta_{\#}(\omega)\mathfrak{Y}_{k_1,2}\mathfrak{Y}_2\widehat{\Phi}(0)$.*

Remark (8.17) Note that

$$F_{-3}(\Phi, \omega, 4) = \delta_{\#}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{A}_{k_1} \times (\mathbb{A})^2} \widetilde{R}_1 \Phi(x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}) dx_{21} dx_{12} dx_{22}.$$

If $\Phi = M_\omega \Phi$ and $\delta_{\#}(\omega) = 1$, Φ is K -invariant. Then it is easy to see that

$$F_{-3}(\Phi, \omega, 4) = F_{-3}(\widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 4).$$

Therefore, the pole at $s = 4$ is a double pole. So the orders of the poles of $Z_{\text{ad}}(\Phi, \omega, s)$ at $s = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8$ are 1, 1, 2, 1, 1 respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kable, A. C., and Yukie, A. Prehomogeneous vector spaces and field extensions II. Preprint.
- [2] Kempf, G. Instability in invariant theory. *Ann. of Math.*, 108:299–316, 1978.
- [3] Weil, A. *Basic number theory*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [4] Wright, D. J., and A. Yukie. Prehomogeneous vector spaces and field extensions. *Invent. Math.*, 110:283–314, 1992.
- [5] Yukie, A. *Shintani zeta functions*. LMS Lecture Note Series 183, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

Akihiko Yukie
Oklahoma State University
Mathematics Department
401 Math Science
Stillwater OK 74078-1058 USA
yukie@math.okstate.edu